arXiv:1502.00941v2 [math-ph] 20 Feb 2016

TWO TIME DISTRIBUTION IN BROWNIAN DIRECTED PERCOLATION

KURT JOHANSSON

Abstract. In the zero temperature Brownian semi-discrete directed polymer we study the joint distribution of two last-passage times at positions ordered in the time-like direction. This is the situation when we have the slow de-correlation phenomenon. We compute the limiting joint distribution function in a scaling limit. This limiting distribution is given by an expansion in determinants which is not a Fredholm expansion. A somewhat similar looking formula was derived non-rigorously in a related model by Dotsenko.

1. Introduction and results

Let $B_i(t)$, $t \geq 0$, $i \geq 1$, be independent standard Brownian motions. We consider the zero temperature Brownian semi-discrete directed polymer, [1], [13],[5], [18]. The last-passage time in this model is defined by

$$H(\mu,n)=\sup_{0=\tau_0<\tau_1<\dots<\tau_n=\mu}\sum_{i=1}^{n}B_i(\tau_i)-B_i(\tau_{i-1}). \tag{1.1}$$

We are interested in the asymptotics of the joint distribution function

$$\mathbb{P}[H(\mu_1,n_1)\leq\xi_1,H(\mu_2,n_2)\leq\xi_2] \tag{1.2}$$

when (μ_1,n_1) and (μ_2,n_2) are ordered in the time-like direction, $\mu_1<\mu_2$, $n_1<n_2$. The random variable (1.1) is distributed as the largest eigenvalue of a GUE random matrix, [1]. More precisely,

$$\mathbb{P}[H(\mu,n)\leq\xi]=\frac{1}{Z_{\mu,n}}\int_{(-\infty,\xi]^n}\prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n}(x_k-x_j)^2\prod_{j=1}^{n}e^{-\frac{x_j^2}{2\mu}}\,d^nx. \tag{1.3}$$

By standard results this leads to the following limit law for $H(\mu,n)$. Let t,ν and η be fixed. Then

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[H(tM-\nu(tM)^{2/3},[tM+\nu(tM)^{2/3}])\leq 2tM+(\eta-\nu^2)(tM)^{1/3}\right]=F_2(\eta), \tag{1.4}$$

where F_2 is the GUE Tracy-Widom distribution,

$$F_2(\eta)=\det(I-K_{\mathrm{Ai}})_{L^2(\eta,\infty)}. \tag{1.5}$$

Here K_{Ai} is the Airy kernel,

$$K_{\mathrm{Ai}}(x,y)=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Ai}\,(x+\tau)\mathrm{Ai}\,(y+\tau)\,d\tau. \tag{1.6}$$

When (μ_1,n_1) and (μ_2,n_2) have a space-like ordering, $\mu_1<\mu_2$, $n_1>n_2$, the asymptotics for (1.2) analogous to (1.4) can be computed and expressed in terms of a Fredholm determinant with the extended Airy kernel. This leads to the possibility of proving convergence to the Airy process along space-like paths, [3], [12]. However, the case when (μ_1,n_1) and (μ_2,n_2) are ordered in the time-like direction (more precisely along a characteristic, see e.g. [12]) has not been considered previously except non-rigorously in a related model by Dotsenko, [10], using the replica method. The main result of this paper is given in the next theorem.

Supported by the grant KAW 2010.0063 from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $0 < t_1 < t_2$, $\eta_1, \eta_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Set*

$$\alpha = (t_1/\Delta t)^{1/3}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$, and let $F_{tt}(\eta_1, \eta_2; \alpha, \nu_1, \nu_2)$ be given by (1.21) below. Introduce the scaling

$$\mu_i = t_i M - \nu_i (t_i M)^{2/3},\ n_i = t_i M + \nu_i (t_i M)^{2/3},\ \xi_i = 2t_i M + (\eta_i - \nu_i^2)(t_i M)^{1/3}, \tag{1.8}$$

$i = 1, 2$. With this scaling, define

$$F_M(\eta_1, \eta_2; t_1, t_2, \nu_1, \nu_2) = \mathbb{P}[H(\mu_1, n_1) \le \xi_1, H(\mu_2, n_2) \le \xi_2] \tag{1.9}$$

Then,

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} F_M(\eta_1, \eta_2; t_1, t_2, \nu_1, \nu_2) = F_{tt}(\eta_1, \eta_2; \alpha, \nu_1, \nu_2). \tag{1.10}$$

The theorem will be proved in section 4.

In order to give the formula for the limiting distribution function we first need to define some functions. Set

$$\Delta\nu = \nu_2 \left(\frac{t_2}{\Delta t}\right)^{2/3} - \nu_1 \left(\frac{t_1}{\Delta t}\right)^{2/3}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\Delta\eta = (\eta_2 - \nu_2^2)\left(\frac{t_2}{\Delta t}\right)^{1/3} - (\eta_1 - \nu_1^2)\left(\frac{t_1}{\Delta t}\right)^{1/3} + \Delta\nu^2.$$

Let

$$\phi_1(x,y) = -\alpha e^{\alpha\Delta\nu x - \nu_1 y} \int_0^\infty e^{(\nu_1 - \alpha\Delta\nu)\tau} K_{\mathrm{Ai}}(\eta_1 - \tau, \eta_1 - y) K_{\mathrm{Ai}}(\Delta\eta + \alpha\tau, \Delta\eta + \alpha x)\, d\tau, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\psi_1(x,y) = \alpha e^{\alpha\Delta\nu x - \nu_1 y} \int_0^\infty e^{-(\nu_1 - \alpha\Delta\nu)\tau} K_{\mathrm{Ai}}(\eta_1 + \tau, \eta_1 - y) K_{\mathrm{Ai}}(\Delta\eta - \alpha\tau, \Delta\eta + \alpha x)\, d\tau, \tag{1.13}$$

$$\phi_2(x,y) = \alpha e^{\alpha\Delta\nu(x-y)} K_{\mathrm{Ai}}(\Delta\eta + \alpha x, \Delta\eta + \alpha y), \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\phi_3(x,y) = e^{\nu_1(x-y)} K_{\mathrm{Ai}}(\eta_1 - x, \eta_1 - y). \tag{1.15}$$

Define

$$\phi(x,y) = \phi_1(x,y) + 1(y \ge 0)\phi_2(x,y) - 1(x < 0)\phi_3(x,y), \tag{1.16}$$

and

$$\psi(x,y) = -\psi_1(x,y) - 1(y > 0)\phi_2(x,y) + 1(x \le 0)\phi_3(x,y), \tag{1.17}$$

where $1(\cdot)$ is the indicator function. We will use the following notation in block matrices. If f is a function of two real variables, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t$ we write

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (f(x_i, y_j))_{\substack{1\le i\le s\\ 1\le j\le t}}, \tag{1.18}$$

for a matrix block. When s or t is equal to zero this block is just empty and left out of the block matrix. Let $r_1, r_2, s, t \ge 0$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_1}$, $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^s$, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_2}$, $\mathbf{y}' \in \mathbb{R}^t$ and $0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the determinants

$$W^{(1)}_{r_1,s,r_2,t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') = \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) & \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') & \psi(\mathbf{x},0) & \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) & \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}') \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}) & \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}') & \phi(\mathbf{x}',0) & \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}) & \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}') \\ \psi(0,\mathbf{x}) & \psi(0,\mathbf{x}') & \psi(0,0) & \psi(0,\mathbf{y}) & \psi(0,\mathbf{y}') \\ \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}) & \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}') & \phi(\mathbf{y},0) & \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) & \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}') \\ \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{x}) & \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{x}') & \psi(\mathbf{y}',0) & \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{y}) & \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{y}') \end{vmatrix} \tag{1.19}$$

(the determinant is of size r_1+s+r_2+t+1) and

$$W^{(2)}_{r_1,s,r_2,t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}') = \begin{vmatrix} \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}) & \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}') & \psi(\mathbf{x},0) & \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) & \psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}') \\ \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}) & \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{x}') & \phi(\mathbf{x}',0) & \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}) & \phi(\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y}') \\ \phi(0,\mathbf{x}) & \phi(0,\mathbf{x}') & \phi(0,0) & \phi(0,\mathbf{y}) & \phi(0,\mathbf{y}') \\ \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}) & \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x}') & \phi(\mathbf{y},0) & \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}) & \phi(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}') \\ \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{x}) & \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{x}') & \psi(\mathbf{y}',0) & \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{y}) & \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{y}') \end{vmatrix}. \tag{1.20}$$

We can now give the expression for the distribution function $F_{\text{tt}}(\eta_1,\eta_2;\alpha,\nu_1,\nu_2)$ in theorem 1.1. Define

(1.21)

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{\text{tt}}(\eta_1^*,\eta_2;\alpha,\nu_1,\nu_2) \\ &= F_2(\eta_2) - \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r!)^2 s! t!} \int_{\eta_1^*}^{\infty} d\eta_1 \int_{(-\infty,0]^r} d^r x \int_{(-\infty,0]^s} d^s x' \int_{[0,\infty)^r} d^r y \int_{[0,\infty)^t} d^t y' W^{(1)}_{r,s,r,t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}') \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\sum_{s,t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!(r-1)!s!t!} \int_{\eta_1^*}^{\infty} d\eta_1 \int_{(-\infty,0]^r} d^r x \int_{(-\infty,0]^s} d^s x' \int_{[0,\infty)^{r-1}} d^{r-1} y \int_{[0,\infty)^t} d^t y' W^{(2)}_{r,s,r-1,t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}'), \end{aligned}$$

where F_2 is the Tracy-Widom distribution given by (1.5). Recall that the Tracy-Widom distribution F_2 in (1.5) can be written as a Fredholm expansion. The two-time distribution function F_{tt} is not given by a Fredholm expansion although the expansion in (1.21) has some similarities with a block Fredholm expansion.

We will derive the formulas that we will use to prove (1.10) by thinking of $H(\mu,n)$ as a limit of a last-passage time in a discrete model. Let $(w(i,j))_{i,j\geq 1}$ be independent geometric random variables with parameter q,

$$\mathbb{P}[w(i,j)=k]=(1-q)q^k, \quad k\geq 0.$$

Consider the last-passage times

$$G(m,n) = \max_{\pi:(1,1)\nearrow(m,n)} \sum_{(i,j)\in\pi} w(i,j), \tag{1.22}$$

where the maximum is over all up/right paths from $(1,1)$ to (m,n), see [15]. We have the following limit law

$$\frac{G([\mu T],n) - \frac{q}{1-q}[\mu T]}{\frac{\sqrt{q}}{1-q}\sqrt{T}} \to H(\mu,n) \tag{1.23}$$

in distribution as $T\to\infty$, see [1]. The distribution function $\mathbb{P}[G(m_1,n_1)\leq v_1, G(m_2,n_2)\leq v_2]$ will be analyzed using a formula from [17], see section 2 below.

Remark 1.2. As mentioned above Dotsenko has given a non-rigorous derivation of the limiting distribution function F_{tt}. The formula in [10] has similarities with (1.21) but we have not attempted to prove that they are the same. Dotsenko also used a similar derivation in the space-like direction, [11], see also [14].

Remark 1.3. This paper is a contribution to the understanding of models in the so called KPZ universality class, which have been of great interest in the last 15 years. We will not survey this development here, see for example the papers [2], [4], [6], [16], [19] and references therein. In particular the results of this paper could be of interest in understanding the so called Airy sheet, a conjectural limiting object for many models, see [9] and [8]. One aspect about last-passage percolation models in the time direction has been studied previously, namely the so called slow decorrelation phenomenon, see [7], [12]. This means that the scaling exponent in the time direction (characteristic direction) is 1; we need μ_1 and $\Delta\mu$ to be of order M above to get a non-trivial limit.

Remark 1.4. It is not so hard to check, disregarding technical details, that $F(\eta_1,\eta_2;\alpha)\to F_2(\eta_1)$ as $\eta_2\to\infty$ and $F(\eta_1,\eta_2;\alpha)\to F_2(\eta_2)$ as $\eta_1\to\infty$. We also expect that $F(\eta_1,\eta_2;\alpha)\to F_2(\eta_1)F_2(\eta_2)$ as $\alpha\to 0+$. This limit can be checked heuristically but appears to be rather subtle and we will not discuss it further.

Remark 1.5. Below we will derive formulas for the corresponding problem for the last-passage times $G(m,n)$ before taking the limit to $H(\mu,n)$. It should be possible to carry out the whole proof below but with $G(m,n)$ instead, but some of the computations in section 3 appear to be harder. The role of the Hermite polynomials there would then be replaced by the Meixner polynomials.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we will prove a formula for the joint distribution function $\mathbb{P}[G(m_1,n_1)\le v_1, G(m_2,n_2)\le v_2]$ based on results from [17]. By taking a limit this leads to a formula for (1.2). This computation involves certain symmetrization identities that will be proved in section 5. In section 3 the formula from section 2 will be rewritten and expanded in terms of determinants. Section 4 gives the proof of theorem 1.1 based on the expansion, certain asymptotic limits and estimates. These limits and estimates are finally proved in section 6.

Throughout this paper γ_r will denote a positively oriented circle around the origin with radius r, and Γ_d will denote a straight line through d parallell to the imaginary axis and oriented upwards.

Acknowledgement. This work was inspired by a talk by Victor Dotsenko at the Simons Symposium *The Kardar-Parisi-Zhang Equation and Universality Class*, which appeared as [10]. I thank the Simons Foundation for the invitation. The work was started while visiting the Institute of Advanced Study. I thank the Institute for inviting me to the special year on *Non-equilibrium Dynamics and Random Matrices.* I thank an anonymous referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

2. A FORMULA FOR THE JOINT DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

Let $G(m,n)$, $m,n\ge 1$, be the last-passage times defined by (1.22), and write

$$\mathbf{G}(m)=(G(m,1),\dots,G(m,n)).$$

We put $\mathbf{G}(0)=\mathbf{0}$. Introduce the difference operators $\Delta f(x)=f(x+1)-f(x)$, $\Delta^{-1}f(x)=\sum_{y=-\infty}^{x-1}f(y)$, where $f:\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{R}$ is a given function. Set, for $m\ge 1$, $x\in\mathbb{Z}$,

$$w_m(x)=(1-q)^m\binom{x+m-1}{x}q^x 1(x\ge 0). \tag{2.1}$$

Also, we let $W_n=\{x\in\mathbb{Z}^n\,;\,x_1\le x_2\le\dots\le x_n\}$. In [17] the following result was proved, inspired by [21].

Proposition 2.1. *For $x,y\in W_n$ and $m>\ell\ge 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{G}(m)=y\,|\,\mathbf{G}(\ell)=x]=\det\left(\Delta^{j-i}w_{m-\ell}(y_j-x_i)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{G}(m)=x]=\det\left(\Delta^{j-i}w_m(x_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}. \tag{2.3}$$

It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{G}(m_1)=x,\mathbf{G}(m_2)=y]&=\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{G}(m_2)=y\,|\,\mathbf{G}(m_1)=x]\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{G}(m_1)=x]\\&=\det\left(\Delta^{j-i}w_{m_2-m_1}(y_j-x_i)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}\det\left(\Delta^{j-i}w_{m_1}(x_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$P := \mathbb{P}[G(m_1,n_1) \le v_1, G(m_2,n_2) \le v_2] \tag{2.4}$$
$$= \sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1} \sum_{\substack{x\in W_{n_2} \\ x_{n_1}=u}} \sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2} \\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}} \det\left(\Delta^{j-i} w_{m_1}(x_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \det\left(\Delta^{j-i} w_{m_2-m_1}(y_j - x_i)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2},$$

where $1 \le m_1 < m_2$ and $1 \le n_1 \le n_2$. This formula is the starting point of our analysis. In order to get a more useful formula we rewrite it in terms of multiple contour integrals. We can write w_m in (2.1) as

$$w_m(x) = \frac{(1-q)^m}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{dz}{(1-qz)^m z^{x+1}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where γ_r is a positively oriented circle around the origin with radius r and $0 < r < 1/q$. This gives

$$\Delta^k w_m(x) = \frac{(1-q)^m}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_r} \frac{(1-z)^k dz}{(1-qz)^m z^{x+k+1}}, \tag{2.6}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $0 < r < 1$. Inserting (2.6) into (2.4) will after some rather lengthy and non-trivial manipulations lead to the following formula for P.

Proposition 2.2. *Let P be defined by (2.4), and let $0 < s_1 < r_1 < 1$, $0 < r_2 < s_2 < 1$. Assume that $m_1 < m_2$ and $n_1 < n_2$ and let $\Delta n = n_2 - n_1$, $\Delta m = m_2 - m_1$. Then*

$$P = \sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1} \frac{(1-q)^{m_2 n_2}(-1)^{n_2(n_2-1)/2}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2} n_1!^2 (\Delta n)!^2} \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}z \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}z \int\limits_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}w \int\limits_{\gamma_{r_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}w \tag{2.7}$$
$$\times \det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \det\left(\frac{1}{w_j - z_i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_1} \det\left(\frac{1}{z_j - w_i}\right)_{n_1 < i,j\le n_2}$$
$$\times \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{1-z_j}{1-w_j} \left(1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{z_j}{w_j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{w_j^{u-v_2-\Delta n}}{z_j^{u+n_1}(1-z_j)^{\Delta n}(1-qz_j)^{m_1}(1-w_j)^{n_1}(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}}.$$

Here we have used the notation

$$\int\limits_{\gamma_{s_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}z \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}z = \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_1}} dz_1 \dots \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_1}} dz_{n_1} \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_2}} dz_{n_1+1} \dots \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_2}} dz_{n_2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Before we can prove (2.7) we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 2.3. *We have the following two algebraic symmetrization identities,*

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} sgn\,(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1-w_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(j)}}\right)^j \frac{1}{(1-w_{\sigma(1)})(1-w_{\sigma(1)}w_{\sigma(2)})\cdots(1-w_{\sigma(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma(n)})} \tag{2.9}$$
$$= (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{w_j^n} \det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n},$$

and

(2.10)
$$\sum_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in S_n} sgn\,(\sigma_1\sigma_2)\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2(j)}(1-z_{\sigma_1(j)})}{z_{\sigma_1(j)}(1-w_{\sigma_2(j)})}\right)^{j}\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1(1)}}{w_{\sigma_2(1)}}\right)\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1(1)}z_{\sigma_1(2)}}{w_{\sigma_2(1)}w_{\sigma_2(2)}}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1(1)}\cdots z_{\sigma_1(n)}}{w_{\sigma_2(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma_2(n)}}\right)}$$
$$=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{w_j^{n+1}(1-z_j)^n}{z_j^n(1-w_j)^n}\det\left(\frac{1}{w_j-z_i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}.$$

The first identity is a direct consequence of one of the Tracy-Widom ASEP identities, [20]. The other identity is new as far as we know. The lemma will be proved in sect. 5.

We can now give the proof of proposition 2.2.

Proof. (*Proposition 2.2*) Inserting (2.6) into (2.4) gives

$$P=\sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1}\sum_{\substack{x\in W_{n_2}\\ x_{n_1}=u}}\sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2}\\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}}\det\left(\frac{(1-q)^{m_1}}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^{j-i}\frac{dz_j}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}z_j^{x_j+1}}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$
$$\det\left(\frac{(1-q)^{\Delta m}}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}}\left(\frac{1-w_i}{w_i}\right)^{j-i}\frac{dw_i}{(1-qw_i)^{\Delta m}w_i^{y_j-x_i+1}}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2},\tag{2.11}$$

where $0<r_1,r_2<1$. Now, the first determinant in (2.11) can be rewritten as

$$\det\left(\frac{(1-q)^{m_1}}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^{j-i}\frac{dz_j}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}z_j^{x_j+1}}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$
$$=\frac{(1-q)^{m_1n_2}}{(2\pi i)^{n_2}}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}z\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^{j}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}z_j^{x_j+1}}\det\left(\left(\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\right)^{i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$
$$=\frac{(1-q)^{m_1n_2}}{(2\pi i)^{n_2}}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}z\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^{j}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}(1-z_j)^{n_2}z_j^{x_j}}\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}.\tag{2.12}$$

Here we used the identity

$$\det\left(\left(\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\right)^{i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}=\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j}{(1-z_j)^{n_2}}\right)\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}.$$

This follows from the following computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det\left(\left(\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\right)^i\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = \det\left(\left(\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\right)^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n_2}\left(\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}-\frac{z_i}{1-z_i}\right)\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n_2}\frac{1}{(1-z_j)(1-z_i)}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n_2}\left(z_j(1-z_i)-z_i(1-z_j)\right)\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\prod_{j=2}^{n_2}\frac{1}{(1-z_j)^{j-1}}\prod_{i=1}^{n_2-1}\frac{1}{(1-z_i)^{n_2-i}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n_2}(z_j-z_i)\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j}{1-z_j}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{(1-z_j)^{j-1}}\frac{1}{(1-z_j)^{n_2-j}}\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = \det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j}{(1-z_j)^{n_2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider now the second determinant in (2.11) together with the y-summation. We get

(2.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2}\\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}}\det\left(\frac{(1-q)^{\Delta m}}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}}\left(\frac{1-w_i}{w_i}\right)^{j-i}\frac{dw_i}{(1-qw_i)^{\Delta m}w_i^{y_j-x_i+1}}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\\
&=\frac{(1-q)^{\Delta m n_2}}{(2\pi i)^{n_2}}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}w\sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2}\\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}}\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n_2}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{1-w_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(j)}}\right)^{j-\sigma(j)}\frac{1}{(1-qw_{\sigma(j)})^{\Delta m}w_{\sigma(j)}^{y_j-x_{\sigma(j)}+1}}\\
&=\frac{(1-q)^{\Delta m n_2}}{(2\pi i)^{n_2}}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}w\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{w_j}{1-w_j}\right)^{j}\frac{w_j^{x_j-1}}{(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}}\\
&\times\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n_2}}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{1-w_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(j)}}\right)^{j}\left(\sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2}\\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(j)}^{y_j}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $0<r_2<1$ we see, by summing the geometric series, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2}\\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(j)}^{y_j}} &= \sum_{y_{n_2}=-\infty}^{v_2}(w_{\sigma(1)}\dots w_{\sigma(n_2)})^{-y_{n_2}}\sum_{y_{n_2-1}=-\infty}^{y_{n_2}}(w_{\sigma(1)}\dots w_{\sigma(n_2-1)})^{y_{n_2}-y_{n_2-1}}\cdots\sum_{y_1=-\infty}^{y_2}w_{\sigma(1)}^{y_2-y_1}\\
&=\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{w_j^{v_2}}\frac{1}{(1-w_{\sigma(1)})(1-w_{\sigma(1)}w_{\sigma(2)})\cdots(1-w_{\sigma(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma(n_2)})}. \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.14) with the identity (2.9) we get

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{1-w_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(j)}}\right)^j \left(\sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2}\\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(j)}^{y_j}}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{w_j^{n_2+v_2}}\det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}.$$

We can now use this identity in (2.13) and obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{y\in W_{n_2}\\ y_{n_2}\le v_2}} \det\left(\frac{(1-q)^{\Delta m}}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}}\left(\frac{1-w_i}{w_i}\right)^{j-i}\frac{dw_i}{(1-qw_i)^{\Delta m}w_i^{y_j-x_i+1}}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \tag{2.15}$$
$$= \frac{(1-q)^{\Delta m n_2}(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{n_2}}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}^{n_2}} d^{n_2}w\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{w_j}{1-w_j}\right)^j\frac{w_j^{x_j-v_2-n_2-1}}{(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}}\det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}.$$

Next, insert (2.12) and (2.15) into (2.11) to get
(2.16)

$$P=\sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1}\sum_{\substack{x\in W_{n_2}\\ x_{n_1}=u}}\frac{(1-q)^{m_2n_2}(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2}}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}z\int_{\gamma_{r_2}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}w\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$
$$\times\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^j\left(\frac{w_j}{1-w_j}\right)^j\frac{1}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}(1-z_j)^{n_2}z_j^{x_j}}\frac{w_j^{x_j-v_2-n_2-1}}{(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}}.$$

In this expression we symmetrize in $\{z_j\}$ and $\{w_j\}$. We find
(2.17)

$$P=\sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1}\sum_{\substack{x\in W_{n_2}\\ x_{n_1}=u}}\frac{(1-q)^{m_2n_2}(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2}(n_2!)^2}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}z\int_{\gamma_{r_2}^{n_2}}d^{n_2}w\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$
$$\times\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}(1-z_j)^{n_2}w_j^{v_2+n_2+1}(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}}$$
$$\times\left(\sum_{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in S_n}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1\sigma_2)\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\left(\frac{1-z_{\sigma_1(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1(j)}}\right)^j\left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2(j)}}{1-w_{\sigma_2(j)}}\right)^j\left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1(j)}}\right)^{x_j}\right).$$

Let (S_j^-,S_j^+), $j=1,2$, be two partitions of $[1,n_2]=\{1,\dots n_2\}$, such that $|S_j^-|=n_1$ and $|S_j^+|=\Delta n$. For $\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in S_{n_2}$, we say that $\sigma_j\in S_{n_2}(S_j^-,S_j^+)$ if $\sigma_j([1,n_1])=S_j^-$ and consequently $\sigma_j([n_1+1,n_2])=S_j^+$, $j=1,2$. Write

$$\sigma_j^-=\sigma_j\big|_{[1,n_1]}\,,\ \sigma_j^+=\sigma_j\big|_{[n_1+1,n_2]}\,,\ j=1,2,$$

for the restricted permutations. Given S_j^- (S_j^+) we can identify σ_j^- (σ_j^+) with a permutation in S_{n_1} ($S_{\Delta n}$). We do this by taking the order preserving bijection from S_j^- (S_j^+) to S_{n_1} ($S_{\Delta n}$). The signs are related by

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_j)=(-1)^{\kappa(S_j^-,S_j^+)}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_j^-)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_j^+), \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\kappa(U,V)=|\{(i,j)\,;\,i\in U,j\in V,i>j\}|.$$

We will now choose our radii in the circles in the contour integrals depending on $S_j^{\pm}$. Recall that

$$0 < s_1 < r_1 < 1,\ 0 < r_2 < s_2 < 1,$$

which we assumed in the proposition. Given S_j^-, $j = 1, 2$, we take

$$\begin{aligned} &|z_k| = s_1,\ k \in S_1^-,\ |w_k| = r_1,\ k \in S_2^-, \\ &|z_k| = s_2,\ k \in S_1^+,\ |w_k| = r_2,\ k \in S_2^+. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

We can write

(2.20)

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{1-z_{\sigma_1(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2(j)}}{1-w_{\sigma_2(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1(j)}}\right)^{x_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{1-z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}{1-w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}\right)^{x_j} \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{1-z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}{1-w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}\right)^{x_j} \end{aligned}$$

From (2.17), (2.18) and (2.20) we find

$$\begin{aligned} P = &\sum_{S_1^-, S_2^-} (-1)^{\kappa(S_1^-,S_1^+)+\kappa(S_2^-,S_2^+)} \sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1} \frac{(1-q)^{m_2 n_2}(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2}(n_2!)^2} \\ &\times \int_{\gamma_{s_1}^{n_1}} \prod_{j\in S_1^-} dz_j \int_{\gamma_{s_2}^{\Delta n}} \prod_{j\in S_1^+} dz_j \int_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_1}} \prod_{j\in S_2^-} dw_j \int_{\gamma_{r_2}^{\Delta n}} \prod_{j\in S_2^+} dw_j \\ &\times \det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}(1-z_j)^{n_2} w_j^{v_2+n_2+1}(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}} \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{x\in W_{n_2} \\ x_{n_1}=u}} \left(\sum_{\sigma_1^-,\sigma_2^-} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1^-)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_2^-) \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{1-z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}{1-w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}\right)^{x_j} \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{\sigma_1^+,\sigma_2^+} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1^+)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_2^+) \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{1-z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}{1-w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}\right)^j \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}\right)^{x_j} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

The next step is to do the x-summations,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{x_1\le\dots\le x_{n_1-1}\le x_{n_1}=u} \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}\right)^{x_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}\right)^{u} \sum_{y_1\le\dots\le y_{n_1-1}\le u} \left(\frac{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}\right)^{-y_j} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}}\right)^{u} \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1^-(1)}}{w_{\sigma_2^-(1)}}\right)\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1^-(1)}z_{\sigma_1^-(2)}}{w_{\sigma_2^-(1)}w_{\sigma_2^-(2)}}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1^-(1)}\cdots z_{\sigma_1^-(n_1-1)}}{w_{\sigma_2^-(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma_2^-(n_1-1)}}\right)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

by the same computation as (2.14). Here we used the fact that $|z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}/w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}| = s_1/r_1 < 1$. Similarly,

$$(2.23)\qquad \sum_{u\le x_{n_1+1}\le\cdots\le x_{n_2}} \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}\right)^{x_j}$$
$$= \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}}\right)^{u} \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2)}}\right)\left(1-\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2)}w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2-1)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2)}z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2-1)}}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2)}\cdots w_{\sigma_2^+(n_1+1)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2)}\cdots z_{\sigma_1^+(n_1+1)}}\right)},$$

since $|w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}/z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}| = r_2/s_2 < 1$.

We can now apply (2.10) in lemma 2.3 to see that

$$(2.24)\qquad \sum_{\sigma_1^-,\sigma_2^-} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1^-)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_2^-) \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^-(j)}(1-z_{\sigma_1^-(j)})}{z_{\sigma_1^-(j)}(1-w_{\sigma_2^-(j)})}\right)^j$$
$$\times \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1^-(1)}}{w_{\sigma_2^-(1)}}\right)\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1^-(1)}z_{\sigma_1^-(2)}}{w_{\sigma_2^-(1)}w_{\sigma_2^-(2)}}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1^-(1)}\cdots z_{\sigma_1^-(n_1-1)}}{w_{\sigma_2^-(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma_2^-(n_1-1)}}\right)}$$
$$= \left(1-\prod_{j\in S_1^-} z_j \prod_{j\in S_2^-}\frac{1}{w_j}\right) \prod_{j\in S_1^-}\frac{(1-z_j)^{n_1}}{z_j^{n_1}} \prod_{j\in S_2^-}\frac{w_j^{n_1+1}}{(1-w_j)^{n_1}} \det\left(\frac{1}{w_j-z_i}\right)_{\substack{i\in S_1^-\\ j\in S_2^-}}.$$

From (2.23) we see that we also want to compute

$$(2.25)\qquad \sum_{\sigma_1^+,\sigma_2^+} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1^+)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_2^+) \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}(1-z_{\sigma_1^+(j)})}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}(1-w_{\sigma_2^+(j)})}\right)^j$$
$$\times \frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2)}}\right)\left(1-\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2)}w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2-1)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2)}z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2-1)}}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(n_2)}\cdots w_{\sigma_2^+(n_1+1)}}{z_{\sigma_1^+(n_2)}\cdots z_{\sigma_1^+(n_1+1)}}\right)}.$$

Let $\tau(j) = n_2+1-j$, $1\le j\le \Delta n$ and $\tilde\sigma_i^+ = \sigma_i^+\circ\tau$, $i=1,2$. Then, $\tilde\sigma_i^+ : [1,\Delta n]\to S_i^+$ and

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde\sigma_1^+)\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde\sigma_2^+) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1^+)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_2^+).$$

Also,

$$\prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2^+(j)}(1-z_{\sigma_1^+(j)})}{z_{\sigma_1^+(j)}(1-w_{\sigma_2^+(j)})}\right)^j = \prod_{j=1}^{\Delta n} \left(\frac{w_{\tilde\sigma_2^+(j)}(1-z_{\tilde\sigma_1^+(j)})}{z_{\tilde\sigma_1^+(j)}(1-w_{\tilde\sigma_2^+(j)})}\right)^{n_2+1-j}$$
$$= \prod_{j\in S_1^+}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^{n_2+1} \prod_{j\in S_2^+}\left(\frac{w_j}{1-w_j}\right)^{n_2+1} \prod_{j=1}^{\Delta n}\left(\frac{z_{\tilde\sigma_1^+(j)}(1-w_{\tilde\sigma_2^+(j)})}{w_{\tilde\sigma_2^+(j)}(1-z_{\tilde\sigma_1^+(j)})}\right)^j.$$

Thus (2.25) can be written

$$\prod_{j\in S_1^+}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^{n_2+1}\prod_{j\in S_2^+}\left(\frac{w_j}{1-w_j}\right)^{n_2+1}\sum_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+,\tilde{\sigma}_2^+}\mathrm{sgn}\,(\tilde{\sigma}_1^+)\mathrm{sgn}\,(\tilde{\sigma}_2^+)\prod_{j=1}^{\Delta n}\left(\frac{z_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+(j)}(1-w_{\tilde{\sigma}_2^+(j)})}{w_{\tilde{\sigma}_2^+(j)}(1-z_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+(j)})}\right)^j$$
$$\times\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{w_{\tilde{\sigma}_2^+(n_2)}}{z_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+(n_2)}}\right)\left(1-\frac{w_{\tilde{\sigma}_2^+(n_2)}w_{\tilde{\sigma}_2^+(n_2-1)}}{z_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+(n_2)}z_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+(n_2-1)}}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{w_{\tilde{\sigma}_2^+(n_2)}\cdots w_{\tilde{\sigma}_2^+(n_1+1)}}{z_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+(n_2)}\cdots z_{\tilde{\sigma}_1^+(n_1+1)}}\right)}$$

and by (2.10) in lemma 2.3 this equals

$$\prod_{j\in S_1^+}\left(\frac{1-z_j}{z_j}\right)^{n_2+1}\prod_{j\in S_2^+}\left(\frac{w_j}{1-w_j}\right)^{n_2+1}\prod_{j\in S_1^+}\frac{z_j^{\Delta n+1}}{(1-z_j)^{\Delta n}}\prod_{j\in S_2^+}\left(\frac{1-w_j}{w_j}\right)^{\Delta n}\det\left(\frac{1}{z_i-w_j}\right)_{\substack{i\in S_1^+\\ j\in S_2^+}}$$
$$=\prod_{j\in S_1^+}\frac{(1-z_j)^{n_1+1}}{z_j^{n_1}}\prod_{j\in S_2^+}\frac{w_j^{n_1+1}}{(1-w_j)^{n_1+1}}\det\left(\frac{1}{z_i-w_j}\right)_{\substack{i\in S_1^+\\ j\in S_2^+}}.$$

Using this, (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24) in (2.21) we find

(2.26)

$$P=\sum_{S_1^-,S_2^-}(-1)^{\kappa(S_1^-,S_1^+)+\kappa(S_2^-,S_2^+)}\sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1}\frac{(1-q)^{m_2n_2}(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2}(n_2!)^2}$$
$$\times\int_{\gamma_{s_1}^{n_1}}\prod_{j\in S_1^-}dz_j\int_{\gamma_{s_2}^{\Delta n}}\prod_{j\in S_1^+}dz_j\int_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_1}}\prod_{j\in S_2^-}dw_j\int_{\gamma_{r_2}^{\Delta n}}\prod_{j\in S_2^+}dw_j$$
$$\times\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\det\left(\frac{1}{w_j-z_i}\right)_{\substack{i\in S_1^-\\ j\in S_2^-}}\det\left(\frac{1}{z_i-w_j}\right)_{\substack{i\in S_1^+\\ j\in S_2^+}}$$
$$\times\left(1-\prod_{j\in S_1^-}z_j\prod_{j\in S_2^-}\frac{1}{w_j}\right)\prod_{j\in S_1^-}\frac{(1-z_j)^{n_1}}{z_j^{n_1}}\prod_{j\in S_2^-}\frac{w_j^{n_1+1}}{(1-w_j)^{n_1}}\prod_{j\in S_1^+}\frac{(1-z_j)^{n_1+1}}{z_j^{n_1}}\prod_{j\in S_2^+}\frac{w_j^{n_1+1}}{(1-w_j)^{n_1+1}}$$
$$\times\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{w_j^u}{z_j^u}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}\frac{1}{(1-qz_j)^{m_1}(1-z_j)^{n_2}w_j^{v_2+n_2+1}(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}}.$$

To see that the summation over S_1^-,S_2^- in (2.26) is actually trivial, in the sense that the summand does not depend on the choice of S_1^-,S_2^-, we use the following observation. Write

$$\Delta_S(z)=\prod_{j<k,j,k\in S}(z_k-z_j)$$

for $S\subseteq[1,n_2]$. Then, by the standard formula for a Vandermonde determinant,

$$\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}=\prod_{1\le j<k\le n_2}(z_k-z_j)=\Delta_{S_1^-}(z)\Delta_{S_1^+}(z)\prod_{j\in S_1^-,k\in S_1^+}(z_k-z_j)(-1)^{\kappa(S_1^-,S_1^+)}.$$

If we insert this into (2.26) for both z and w we see that we can relabel the indices

$$(z_j)_{j\in S_1^-}\to(z_j)_{j=1}^{n_1}\ ,\ (z_j)_{j\in S_1^+}\to(z_j)_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2}$$
$$(w_j)_{j\in S_2^-}\to(z_j)_{j=1}^{n_1}\ ,\ (w_j)_{j\in S_2^+}\to(w_j)_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2}$$

and then the sums over S_1^-, S_2^- become trivial. Note that

$$\sum_{S_i^-} 1 = \binom{n_2}{n_1},$$

$i = 1, 2$. Formula (2.26) then reduces to (2.7) and we have proved the proposition. $\square$

From proposition 2.2 we can, by a limiting procedure, obtain a corresponding formula in the Brownian directed polymer model.

Let Γ_d denote the vertical straight line contour through $d \in \mathbb{R}$ oriented upwards, $\Gamma_d : t \to d + it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define

(2.27)

$$Q(h) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2} n_1! \Delta n!} \int_{\Gamma_{d_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1} z \int_{\Gamma_{d_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n} z \int_{\Gamma_{d_3}^{n_1}} d^{n_1} w \int_{\Gamma_{d_4}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n} w \det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le n_2} \det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le n_2}$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z_j^2 - \xi_1 z_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2 - \Delta\xi w_j}}{z_j^{\Delta n} w_j^{n_1}} \left(\frac{1}{z_j - w_j} - h\right) \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z_j^2 - \xi_1 z_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2 - \Delta\xi w_j}}{z_j^{\Delta n - 1} w_j^{n_1+1} (w_j - z_j)}$$

where

$$d_1 < d_3 < 0 \ , \ d_4 < d_2 < 0. \tag{2.28}$$

Here, we have written

$$\Delta\xi = \xi_2 - \xi_1 \ , \ \Delta\mu = \mu_2 - \mu_1. \tag{2.29}$$

We can now state a proposition concerning the joint distribution function that we are interested in in theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $H(\mu, n)$ be defined by (1.1). Then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \mathbb{P}\left[H(\mu_1, n_1) \le \xi_1, H(\mu_2, n_2) \le \xi_2\right] = \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right|_{h=0} Q(h). \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. Just as in (1.23) we have the formula

(2.31)

$$\mathbb{P}\left[H(\mu_1, n_1) \le \xi_1, H(\mu_2, n_2) \le \xi_2\right]$$
$$= \lim_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[G([\mu_1 T], n_1) \le \frac{q}{1-q}[\mu_1 T] + \xi_1 \frac{\sqrt{q}}{1-q}\sqrt{T}, G([\mu_2 T], n_2) \le \frac{q}{1-q}[\mu_2 T] + \xi_2 \frac{\sqrt{q}}{1-q}\sqrt{T}\right].$$

In the formula (2.7) we assume that we have chosen r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 so that

$$(r_1/s_1)^{n_1} > (s_2/r_2)^{\Delta n}, \tag{2.32}$$

which can always be done for fixed n_1, n_2. We can then do the u-summation in (2.7) to get

$$\sum_{u=-\infty}^{v_1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{w_j}{z_j}\right)^u = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} w_j^{v_1}/z_j^{v_1}}{1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j/w_j}. \tag{2.33}$$

Insert this into (2.7), expand the Cauchy determinants and symmetrize. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
P = {} & \frac{(1-q)^{m_2 n_2}(-1)^{n_2(n_2-1)/2}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2} n_1!(\Delta n)!} \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}z \int\limits_{\gamma_{s_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}z \int\limits_{\gamma_{r_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}w \int\limits_{\gamma_{r_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}w \frac{1-\prod\limits_{j=1}^{n_1} z_j/w_j}{1-\prod\limits_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j/w_j} \\
& \times \det\left((z_j-1)^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \det\left((w_j-1)^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{1}{w_j-z_j} \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{z_j-w_j} \\
& \times \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{1-z_j}{1-w_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{z_j^{v_1+n_1}(1-z_j)^{\Delta n}(1-qz_j)^{m_1} w_j^{v_2-v_1+\Delta n}(1-w_j)^{n_1}(1-qw_j)^{\Delta m}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.34}
$$

Here, we have also used the fact that $\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right) = \det\left((z_j-1)^{i-1}\right)$, by the standard product formula for a Vandermonde determinant. We now want to take the limit in (2.31) using the formula (2.34), i.e. we let

$$
m_i = [\mu_i T]\ ,\ v_i = \frac{q}{1-q}[\mu_i T] + \xi_i \frac{\sqrt{q}}{1-q}\sqrt{T}, \tag{2.35}
$$

$i = 1, 2$. Let $\Gamma_d^{(T)}$ be given by $t \to d + it$, $|t| \le \pi(1-q)^{-1}\sqrt{qT}$. In (2.34) we make the change of variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_j &= e^{(1-q)z'_j/\sqrt{qT}}\ ,\ z'_j \in \Gamma_{d_1}^{(T)}\ ,\ 1 \le j \le n_1, \\
z_j &= e^{(1-q)z'_j/\sqrt{qT}}\ ,\ z'_j \in \Gamma_{d_2}^{(T)}\ ,\ n_1+1 \le j \le n_2, \\
w_j &= e^{(1-q)w'_j/\sqrt{qT}}\ ,\ w'_j \in \Gamma_{d_3}^{(T)}\ ,\ 1 \le j \le n_1, \\
w_j &= e^{(1-q)w'_j/\sqrt{qT}}\ ,\ w'_j \in \Gamma_{d_4}^{(T)}\ ,\ n_1+1 \le j \le n_2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.36}
$$

where the d_i satisfy (2.28).

The condition (2.32) becomes

$$
n_1 d_3 + \Delta n d_4 > n_1 d_1 + \Delta n d_2. \tag{2.37}
$$

From (2.36) it follows using Taylor expansions and (2.35) that

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{(1-qz_j)^{m_1} z_j^{v_1+n_1}}{(1-q)^{m_1}} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z_j'^2 + \xi_1 z'_j},
$$

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{qT}}{1-q}(z_j - 1) = z'_j,
$$

and similar limits involving w_j instead, and

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1-\prod_{j=1}^{n_1} z_j/w_j}{1-\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j/w_j} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1}(w'_j - z'_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_2}(w'_j - z'_j)}.
$$

If we insert (2.36) into (2.34) and use these limits we can take the limit $T \to \infty$. To make the argument complete we also need some estimates, but we omit the details. After some computation we find, using (2.31), that (we have dropped the primes on the z- and w-variables)

$$\mathbb{P}\left[H(\mu_1,n_1)\le\xi_1, H(\mu_2,n_2)\le\xi_2\right] \tag{2.38}$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^{n_2(n_2-1)/2}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2} n_1!(\Delta n)!} \int_{\Gamma_{d_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}z \int_{\Gamma_{d_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}z \int_{\Gamma_{d_3}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}w \int_{\Gamma_{d_4}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}w \det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$
$$\times \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} w_j - z_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} w_j - z_j} \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z_j^2 - \xi_1 z_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2 - \Delta\xi w_j}}{z_j^{\Delta n} w_j^{n_1}(z_j - w_j)} \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z_j^2 - \xi_1 z_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2 - \Delta\xi w_j}}{z_j^{\Delta n-1} w_j^{n_1+1}(w_j - z_j)}$$

From (2.27) and (2.38) we see that (2.30) follows (recall that $\Delta\xi = \xi_2 - \xi_1$). Note that in Q the condition (2.37) is no longer important. This completes the proof. ☐

3. Expansion

In order to use the formula (2.30) to prove theorem 1.1 we must rewrite $Q(h)$ given in (2.27) further so that we can expand it in a way appropriate for the asymptotic analysis. This expansion is similar in some ways to writing a distribution function like (1.3) as a Fredholm expansion. Behind this expansion there is a certain orthogonality related to the orthogonality of the Hermite polynomials. However, this orthogonality is seen at the level of the generating function for the Hermite polynomials. We will prove a lemma which is the first step towards the expansion and which uses an integral formula for the Hermite polynomials.

Lemma 3.1. *The function $Q(h)$ defined by (2.27) is also given by*

$$Q(h) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{4n_2} n_1!(\Delta n)!} \int_{\Gamma_{d_1}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}z \int_{\Gamma_{d_2}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}z \int_{\Gamma_{d_3}^{n_1}} d^{n_1}w \int_{\Gamma_{d_4}^{\Delta n}} d^{\Delta n}w \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}^{n_2}} d^{n_2}\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}^{n_2}} d^{n_2}\omega \tag{3.1}$$
$$\times \det\left(\frac{1}{\zeta_j^i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} \det\left(\frac{1}{\omega_j^{n_2+1-i}}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$
$$\times \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{z_j^{n_1} w_j^{\Delta n} e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z_j^2 - \xi_1 z_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2 - \Delta\xi w_j}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 \zeta_j^2 - \xi_1 \zeta_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu \omega_j^2 - \Delta\xi \omega_j}(\zeta_j - z_j)(\omega_j - w_j)} \left(\frac{1}{z_j - w_j} - h\right)$$
$$\times \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{z_j^{n_1+1} w_j^{\Delta n-1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z_j^2 - \xi_1 z_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2 - \Delta\xi w_j}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1 \zeta_j^2 - \xi_1 \zeta_j + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu \omega_j^2 - \Delta\xi \omega_j}(w_j - z_j)(\zeta_j - z_j)(\omega_j - w_j)},$$

where

$$d_1 < d_3 < -\max(\tau_1,\tau_2) < 0\ ,\ \ d_4 < d_2 < -\max(\tau_1,\tau_2) < 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Reversing the order of the rows in a determinant of size n gives a sign factor $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$. If we do this in a Vandermonde determinant we get the identity

$$\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}} \det\left(z_j^{n_2-i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j^{n_2}\right) \det\left(\frac{1}{z_j^i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}. \tag{3.3}$$

Provided $\operatorname{Re} z_j < 0$, we see that for $i \ge 1$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{u_j^{i-1} e^{u_j z_j}}{(i-1)!}\, du_j = \frac{(-1)^i}{z_j^i}.$$

It follows from these two identities that

$$\begin{aligned}\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} &= (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j^{n_2}\det\left(\frac{(-1)^i}{(i-1)!}\int_0^\infty u_j^{i-1}e^{u_jz_j}\,du_j\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j^{n_2}\det\left(\frac{(-1)^i}{(i-1)!}\int_{-\infty}^a (a-x_j)^{i-1}e^{(a-x_j)z_j}\,dx_j\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\\
&= (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2+1)}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j^{n_2}\int\limits_{(-\infty,a]^{n_2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}e^{(a-x_j)z_j}\det\left(\frac{(x_j-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} d^{n_2}x\end{aligned}$$

for any $a\in\mathbb{R}$. From this we see that

$$\det\left(z_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2+1)}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} z_j^{n_2}\int\limits_{(-\infty,a]^{n_2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}e^{(a-x_j)z_j}\det\left(\frac{(x_j-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} d^{n_2}x. \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = (-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2+1)}{2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} w_j^{n_2}\int\limits_{(-\infty,b]^{n_2}}\prod_{j=1}^{n_2}e^{(b-y_j)w_j}\det\left(\frac{(y_j-b)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} d^{n_2}y, \tag{3.5}$$

for any $b\in\mathbb{R}$. Choose $a=\xi_1$ and $b=\Delta\xi$. Using the identities (3.4) and (3.5) in (2.27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Q(h) = {}&\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{2n_2}n_1!(\Delta n)!}\int_{\Gamma_{d_1}^{n_1}}d^{n_1}z\int_{\Gamma_{d_2}^{\Delta n}}d^{\Delta n}z\int_{\Gamma_{d_3}^{n_1}}d^{n_1}w\int_{\Gamma_{d_4}^{\Delta n}}d^{\Delta n}w\\
&\int\limits_{(-\infty,\xi_1]^{n_2}}d^{n_2}x\int\limits_{(-\infty,\Delta\xi]^{n_2}}d^{n_2}y\det\left(\frac{x_j^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\det\left(\frac{y_j^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\\
&\times\prod_{j=1}^{n_1}z_j^{n_1}w_j^{\Delta n}e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1z_j^2-x_jz_j+\frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2-y_jw_j}\left(\frac{1}{z_j-w_j}-h\right)\\
&\times\prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2}\frac{z_j^{n_1+1}w_j^{\Delta n-1}e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_1z_j^2-x_jz_j+\frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu w_j^2-y_jw_j}}{w_j-z_j}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

Here we also used the fact that

$$\det\left(\frac{(x_j-a)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}=\det\left(\frac{x_j^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}$$

by the standard formula for the Vandermonde determinant, and similarly for the other determinant. Let $H_k(x)=2^kx^k+\dots$, $k\ge 0$, be the standard Hermite polynomials so that, for any $a>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\det\left(\frac{x_j^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} &= \frac{1}{a^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}\det\left(\frac{(ax_j)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}=\frac{1}{a^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}\det\left(\frac{H_{i-1}(ax_j)}{2^{i-1}(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}\\
&=\frac{1}{(a\sqrt{2\mu_1})^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}\det\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}}\frac{e^{a\sqrt{2\mu_1}x_j\zeta_j-\frac{1}{2}\mu_1\zeta_j^2}}{\zeta_j^i}d\zeta_j\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2},\end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen τ_1 so that (3.2) holds. Take $a = 1/\sqrt{2\mu_1}$. We have shown that

$$\det\left(\frac{x_j^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n_2}} \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}^{n_2}} d^{n_2}\zeta \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{x_j\zeta_j - \frac{1}{2}\mu_1\zeta_j^2} \det\left(\frac{1}{\zeta_j^i}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Similarly,

$$\det\left(\frac{y_j^{i-1}}{(i-1)!}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n_2(n_2-1)}{2}}}{(2\pi i)^{n_2}} \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}^{n_2}} d^{n_2}\omega \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} e^{y_j\omega_j - \frac{1}{2}\mu_1\omega_j^2} \det\left(\frac{1}{\omega_j^{n_2+1-i}}\right)_{1\le i,j\le n_2}, \tag{3.8}$$

where τ_2 satisfies (3.2). If we insert (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.6) the x_j- and y_j-integrations become

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\xi_1} e^{x_j(\zeta_j - z_j)} dx_j = \frac{e^{\xi_1(\zeta_j - z_j)}}{\zeta_j - z_j}\ , \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\Delta\xi} e^{y_j(\omega_j - w_j)} dy_j = \frac{e^{\Delta\xi(\omega_j - w_j)}}{\omega_j - w_j},$$

where the integrals converge because of (3.2). The resulting formula is (3.1).

□

Write

$$G_{n,\mu,\xi}(z) = z^n e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu z^2 - \xi z}. \tag{3.9}$$

Recall (3.2). For $1 \le k, \ell \le n_2$, we define $A_h(\ell, k)$ by

(3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta_{\ell k} 1(\ell \le n_1) + A_h(\ell, k)\\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{\Gamma_{d_1}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{d_3}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z) G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta) G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)} \frac{1}{(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)} \left(\frac{1}{z-w} - h\right),\end{aligned}$$

and $B(\ell, k)$ by

(3.11)

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta_{\ell k} 1(\ell > n_1) + B(\ell, k)\\ &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{\Gamma_{d_2}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{d_4}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1+1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z) G_{\Delta n-1,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta) G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)} \frac{1}{(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

Expand the determinants in (3.1),

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{\zeta_j^i}\right) = \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{\zeta_j^{\sigma(j)}},$$

$$\det\left(\frac{1}{\omega_j^{n_2+1-i}}\right) = \sum_{\tau\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \frac{1}{\omega_j^{n_2+1-\tau(j)}}.$$

From (3.10) and (3.11) it then follows that we can write

$$\begin{aligned}Q(h) = \frac{1}{n_1!\Delta n!} \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} &\left(\delta_{\tau(j),\sigma(j)} 1(\tau(j) \le n_1) + A_h(\tau(j), \sigma(j))\right)\\ \times \prod_{j=n_1+1}^{n_2} &\left(\delta_{\tau(j),\sigma(j)} 1(\tau(j) > n_1) + B(\tau(j), \sigma(j))\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

This way of writing $Q(h)$ is useful because (3.12) leads to a determinant expansion of $Q(h)$, and A_h and B can be rewritten in a way that is useful for taking limits, see lemma 4.1.

Write

$$[a,b]^n_< = \{(x_1,\dots,x_n) \in [a,b]^n\,;\, x_1 < \dots < x_n\},$$

which is empty if $n = 0$, and recall the notation (1.18). By expanding (3.12) we can prove

Proposition 3.2. *We have the formula*

(3.13)

$$Q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1} \sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_< \\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_< \\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<}} \det \begin{pmatrix} B(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}) & B(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}') & B(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}) & B(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}') \\ A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}) & A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}') & A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}) & A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}') \\ A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}) & A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}') & A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}) & A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') \\ B(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}) & B(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}') & B(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}) & B(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}') \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Set

$$E_h(j;\ell,k) = \begin{cases} \delta_{\ell k} 1(\ell \le n_1) + A_h(\ell,k) & \text{if } 1 \le j \le n_1 \\ \delta_{\ell k} 1(\ell > n_1) + B(\ell,k) & \text{if } n_1 < j \le n_2 \end{cases}. \tag{3.14}$$

Then, by (3.12),

$$Q(h) = \frac{1}{n_1!\Delta n!} \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} E_h(j;\tau(j),\sigma(j)). \tag{3.15}$$

By reordering the product we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q(h) &= \frac{1}{n_1!\Delta n!} \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} E_h(\tau^{-1}(j);j,\sigma(\tau^{-1}(j))) \\ &= \frac{1}{n_1!\Delta n!} \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} E_h(\tau^{-1}(j);j,\sigma(\tau^{-1}(j))), \end{aligned}$$

since $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau^{-1}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\tau)$. If we replace $\sigma\tau^{-1}$ by σ and then τ^{-1} by τ, we see that

$$Q(h) = \frac{1}{n_1!\Delta n!} \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{n_2} E_h(\tau(j);j,\sigma(j)). \tag{3.16}$$

Let $J_- \subseteq [1,n_2]$, $|J_-| = n_1$ and $J_+ = [1,n_2] \setminus J_-$. Then, by (3.14) and (3.16),

(3.17)

$$\begin{aligned} Q(h) &= \frac{1}{n_1!\Delta n!} \sum_{J_-} \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\tau\in S_{n_2};\tau(J_-)=[1,n_1]} \prod_{j\in J_-} E_h(\tau(j);j,\sigma(j)) \prod_{j\in J_+} E_h(\tau(j);j,\sigma(j)) \\ &= \sum_{J_-} \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j\in J_-} (\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} 1(j \le n_1) + A_h(j,\sigma(j))) \prod_{j\in J_+} (\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} 1(j > n_1) + B(j,\sigma(j))), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{\tau\in S_{n_2}:\tau(J_-)=[1,n_1]} 1 = n_1!\Delta n!.$$

We can rewrite (3.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} Q(h) = \sum_{J_-} \sum_{\sigma\in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) &\prod_{j\in J_-\cap[1,n_1]} (\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} + A_h(j,\sigma(j))) \prod_{j\in J_-\cap[n_1+1,n_2]} A_h(j,\sigma(j)) \\ &\times \prod_{j\in J_+\cap[1,n_1]} B(j,\sigma(j)) \prod_{j\in J_+\cap[n_1+1,n_2]} (\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} + B(j,\sigma(j))). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

We want to expand the products involving the Kronecker deltas. Let

$$\gamma = J_+ \cap [1, n_1] \ , \ \delta = J_- \cap [n_1 + 1, n_2].$$

Set $r = |J_+ \cap [1, n_1]|$. Then, $|J_- \cap [1, n_1]| = n_1 - r$ and we see that $0 \leq r \leq n_1$. Since $|J_-| = n_1$, we get

$$|J_- \cap [n_1 + 1, n_2]| = n_1 - |J_- \cap [1, n_1]| = r.$$

Thus, $|\gamma| = |\delta| = r$. Given γ, δ we see that $J_- = \delta \cup ([1, n_1] \setminus \gamma)$, so J_- is uniquely determined by γ, δ. Hence, (3.18) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}(3.19) \qquad Q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{\gamma,\delta} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j \in [1,n_1]\setminus\gamma} \left(\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} + A_h(j, \sigma(j))\right) \prod_{j \in \delta} A_h(j, \sigma(j)) \\ \times \prod_{j \in \gamma} B(j, \sigma(j)) \prod_{j \in [n_1+1,n_2]\setminus\delta} \left(\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} + B(j, \sigma(j))\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we sum over all γ, δ such that $\gamma \subseteq [1, n_1]$, $\delta \subseteq [n_1 + 1, n_2]$, $|\gamma| = |\delta| = r$.

Now,

$$(3.20) \qquad \prod_{j \in [1,n_1]\setminus\gamma} (\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} + A_h(j, \sigma(j))) = \sum_{\gamma' \subseteq [1,n_1]\setminus\gamma} \prod_{j \in [1,n_1]\setminus(\gamma\cup\gamma')} \delta_{j,\sigma(j)} \prod_{j \in \gamma'} A_h(j, \sigma(j))$$

and

$$(3.21) \qquad \prod_{j \in [n_1+1,n_2]\setminus\delta} (\delta_{j,\sigma(j)} + B(j, \sigma(j))) = \sum_{\delta' \subseteq [n_1+1,n_2]\setminus\delta} \prod_{j \in [n_1+1,n_2]\setminus(\delta\cup\delta')} \delta_{j,\sigma(j)} \prod_{j \in \delta'} B(j, \sigma(j)).$$

Inserting (3.20) and (3.21) into (3.19) yields

(3.22)

$$\begin{aligned}Q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1-r} \sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-r} \sum_{\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta'} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n_2}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \\ \times \prod_{j \in [1,n_1]\setminus(\gamma\cup\gamma')} \delta_{j,\sigma(j)} \prod_{j \in [n_1+1,n_2]\setminus(\delta\cup\delta')} \delta_{j,\sigma(j)} \prod_{j \in \gamma} B(j, \sigma(j)) \prod_{j \in \gamma'} A_h(j, \sigma(j)) \prod_{j \in \delta} A_h(j, \sigma(j)) \prod_{j \in \delta'} B(j, \sigma(j))\end{aligned}$$

where we sum over all $\gamma, \gamma', \delta, \delta'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}(3.23) \qquad & \gamma, \gamma' \subseteq [1, n_1] \, , \, \delta, \delta' \subseteq [n_1 + 1, n_2] \, , \, \gamma \cap \gamma' = \emptyset \, , \, \delta \cap \delta' = \emptyset, \\ & |\gamma| = |\delta| = r \, , \, |\gamma'| = s \, , \, |\delta'| = t.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Lambda = \gamma \cup \gamma' \cup \delta \cup \delta'$ and $L = |\Delta| = 2r + t + s$. Terms in (3.22) are $\neq 0$ only if $\sigma(j) = j$ for $j \in [1, n_2] \setminus \Lambda$. The permutation σ is then reduced to a bijection $\tilde{\sigma} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_L\}$, where $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_L$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a permutation of Λ and we have $\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{\sigma}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.24) \qquad Q(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1-r} \sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-r} \sum_{\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta'} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}:\Lambda\to\Lambda} \operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{\sigma}) \\ \times \prod_{j \in \gamma} B(j, \tilde{\sigma}(j)) \prod_{j \in \gamma'} A_h(j, \tilde{\sigma}(j)) \prod_{j \in \delta} A_h(j, \tilde{\sigma}(j)) \prod_{j \in \delta'} B(j, \tilde{\sigma}(j)).\end{aligned}$$

Define,

$$T_h(j;\ell,k)=\begin{cases}B(\ell,k) & \text{if } j\in\gamma\\ A_h(\ell,k) & \text{if } j\in\gamma'\\ A_h(\ell,k) & \text{if } j\in\delta\\ B(\ell,k) & \text{if } j\in\delta'.\end{cases}\tag{3.25}$$

Then, by (3.24),

$$Q(h)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=0}^{n_1-r}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-r}\sum_{\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta'}\sum_{\tilde{\sigma}:\Lambda\to\Lambda}\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{\sigma})\prod_{j\in\Lambda}T_h(j;j,\tilde{\sigma}(j)).\tag{3.26}$$

Define $\tau\in S_L$ by $\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda_j)=\lambda_{\tau(j)}$, $1\le j\le L$. Then $\operatorname{sgn}(\tilde{\sigma})=\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)$ and we find

$$\begin{aligned}Q(h)&=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=0}^{n_1-r}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-r}\sum_{\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta'}\sum_{\tau\in S_L}\operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\prod_{i=1}^{L}T_h(\lambda_i;\lambda_i,\lambda_{\tau(i)})\\&=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=0}^{n_1-r}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-r}\sum_{\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta'}\det\left(T_h(\lambda_i;\lambda_i,\lambda_j)\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma&=\{c_1,\dots,c_r\}\,,\ \mathbf{c}=(c_1,\dots,c_r)\in[1,n_1]^r_<,\\ \gamma'&=\{c'_1,\dots,c'_s\}\,,\ \mathbf{c}'=(c'_1,\dots,c'_r)\in[1,n_1]^s_<,\\ \delta&=\{d_1,\dots,d_r\}\,,\ \mathbf{d}=(d_1,\dots,d_r)\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_<,\\ \delta&=\{d'_1,\dots,d'_t\}\,,\ \mathbf{d}'=(d'_1,\dots,d'_t)\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the determinant in (3.27) is unchanged under permutations of the λ_i's. Thus we can reorder the λ_i's in (3.27) so that we get the order $c_1,\dots,c_r,c'_1,\dots,c'_s,d_1,\dots,d_r,d'_1,\dots,d'_t$. Also, notice that if $c_i=c'_j$ or $d_i=d'_j$ for some i,j, then the determinant is $=0$. Hence, we can remove the restrictions $\gamma\cap\gamma'=\emptyset$ and $\delta\cap\delta'=\emptyset$ in (3.23). Note that if e.g. $s>n_1-r$, then we must have $c_i=c'_j$ for some i,j. Thus, the right side in (3.27) equals the right side in (3.13). $\square$

We now want to give expressions for A_h and B that will be useful in the asymptotic analysis. First, we need some definitions. Recall the notation (3.9). Let $0<\tau_1,\tau_2<D_1<D_2$ and define

$$a_{0,1}(\ell,k)=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\Gamma_{D_1}}dz\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}}dw\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}}d\zeta\int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}}d\omega\frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)},\tag{3.28}$$

$$b_1(\ell,k)=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}}dz\int_{\Gamma_{D_1}}dw\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}}d\zeta\int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}}d\omega\frac{G_{n_1+1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n-1,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}.\tag{3.29}$$

Let $0<\tau<D$ and define

$$c_2(\ell,k)=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_D}dw\int_{\gamma_\tau}d\omega\frac{G_{n_2-k,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(w-\omega)},\tag{3.30}$$

$$c_3(\ell,k)=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_D}dz\int_{\gamma_\tau}d\zeta\frac{G_{\ell-1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)(z-\zeta)}.\tag{3.31}$$

We now set,

(3.32a) $$a_{0,2}(\ell,k) = -1(k > n_1)c_2(\ell,k)$$

(3.32b) $$a_{0,3}(\ell,k) = 1(\ell \le n_1)c_3(\ell,k)$$

(3.32c) $$b_2(\ell,k) = -1(k > n_1+1)c_2(\ell,k)$$

(3.32d) $$b_3(\ell,k) = 1(\ell \le n_1+1)c_3(\ell,k)$$

(3.32e) $$a_2^*(\ell) = c_2(\ell,n_1)$$

(3.32f) $$a_3^*(k) = c_3(n_1+1,k),$$

and finally, we define

(3.33a) $$a_0(\ell,k) = a_{0,1}(\ell,k) - a_{0,2}(\ell,k) - a_{0,3}(\ell,k)$$

(3.33b) $$b(\ell,k) = -b_1(\ell,k) + b_2(\ell,k) + b_3(\ell,k)$$

(3.33c) $$A_0^*(\ell,k) = -(\delta_{k,n_1+1} - a_3^*(k))(\delta_{\ell,n_1} - a_2^*(\ell)).$$

With this notation we can formulate our next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *If $A_h(\ell,k)$ and $B(\ell,k)$, $1 \le \ell,k \le n_2$, are defined by (3.10) and (3.11) then*

(3.34) $$A_0(\ell,k) = a_0(\ell,k),$$

(3.35) $$B(\ell,k) = -\delta_{k,n_1+1}\delta_{\ell,n_1+1} + b(\ell,k)$$

and

(3.36) $$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right|_{h=0} A_h(\ell,k) = A_0^*(\ell,k).$$

Proof. Recall the condition (3.2),

(3.37) $$d_1 < d_3 < -\max(\tau_1,\tau_2) < 0\ ,\ \ d_4 < d_2 < -\max(\tau_1,\tau_2) < 0.$$

Choose $D_1, D_2, r_1, r_2, \tau_1, \tau_2$ so that

(3.38) $$0 < \tau_2 < \tau_1 < r_1 < r_2 < D_1 < D_2.$$

In the integral in the right side of (3.10) we can deform Γ_{d_3} to Γ_{D_2} and $-\gamma_{r_1}$, and then Γ_{d_1} to Γ_{D_1} and $-\gamma_{r_2}$ without passing any poles. This gives

(3.39)
$$\delta_{\ell k}1(\ell \le n_1) + A_h(\ell,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\left(\int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw - \int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dz \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} dw - \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw\right)$$
$$\times \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)} \frac{1}{(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}\left(\frac{1}{z-w} - h\right)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dz \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)} \frac{1}{(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}\left(\frac{1}{z-w} - h\right).$$

Consider the last integral in (3.39). The w-integral has its only pole in $w = \omega$ and hence it equals

(3.40) $$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dz \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)\omega^{n_1+1-\ell}(z-\zeta)}\left(\frac{1}{z-\omega} - h\right).$$

In this integral the z-integral has poles at $z = \zeta$ and at $z = \omega$, which gives

(3.41) $$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{\zeta^{n_1-k}}{\omega^{n_1+1-\ell}}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta-\omega} - h\right) + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{\ell-1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\omega)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)(\omega-\zeta)}.$$

Since $\tau_2 < \tau_1$, the ζ-integral in the second integral in (3.41) is $= 0$. The first integral in (3.41) equals $\delta_{\ell,k}1(\ell \le n_1) - h\delta_{k,n_1+1}\delta_{\ell,n_1}$. Combined with (3.39) this gives,

$$A_h(\ell,k) = -h\delta_{k,n_1+1}\delta_{\ell,n_1} + a_{h,1}(\ell,k) - a_{h,2}(\ell,k) - a_{h,3}(\ell,k), \tag{3.42}$$

where

(3.43)

$$a_{h,1}(\ell,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)\left(\frac{1}{z-w} - h\right)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}$$

(3.44)

$$a_{h,2}(\ell,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)\left(\frac{1}{z-w} - h\right)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}$$

and

(3.45)

$$a_{h,3}(\ell,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dz \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)\left(\frac{1}{z-w} - h\right)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}.$$

We see that $a_{0,1}(\ell,k)$ in (3.43) agrees with (3.28). Also

(3.46)

$$a_{0,2}(\ell,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}.$$

The z-integral in (3.46) has its only pole in $z = \zeta$ and hence

$$a_{0,2}(\ell,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{\zeta^{k-n_1}G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(\zeta-w)(w-\omega)}.$$

The ζ-integral is $= 0$ unless $k > n_1$, and if $k > n_1$ the ζ-integral has $\zeta = w$ as its only pole outside γ_{τ_1}. Thus,

$$a_{0,2}(\ell,k) = -\frac{1(k > n_1)}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_2-k,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(w-\omega)} = -1(k > n_1)c_2(\ell,k).$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$a_{0,3}(\ell,k) = \frac{1(\ell \le n_1)}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dz \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \frac{G_{\ell-1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)(z-\zeta)} = 1(\ell \le n_1)c_3(\ell,k).$$

This proves (3.34). Now,

(3.47)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\bigg|_{h=0} a_{h,1}(\ell,k) \\
&= -\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dz \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)(z-\zeta)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(w-\omega)}\right) \\
&= -c_3(n_1+1,k)c_2(\ell,n_1) = -a_3^*(k)a_2^*(\ell).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

(3.48)

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right|_{h=0} a_{h,2}(\ell,k)$$
$$= -\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dz \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \frac{G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)(z-\zeta)}\right)\left(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(w-\omega)}\right)$$
$$= -\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} \zeta^{n_1-k} d\zeta\right) c_2(\ell,n_1) == -\delta_{k,n_1+1}a_2^*(\ell),$$

and

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right|_{h=0} a_{h,3}(\ell,k) = -\delta_{\ell,n_1}a_3^*(k). \tag{3.49}$$

If we use (3.47) - (3.49) in (3.42) we see that we have proved (3.36).

Consider next $B(\ell,k)$. In the integral in the right side of (3.11) we deform Γ_{d_2} to Γ_{D_2} and $-\gamma_{r_1}$, and then Γ_{d_4} to Γ_{D_1} and $-\gamma_{r_2}$, which can be done without passing any poles. We obtain

(3.50)

$$\delta_{\ell k}1(\ell > n_1) + B(\ell,k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\left(-\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dw + \int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dz \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dw + \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dw\right)$$
$$\times \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1+1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n-1,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)}\frac{1}{(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}$$
$$-\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}} dz \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1+1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n-1,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)}\frac{1}{(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}.$$

Consider the last integral in (3.50). The z-integral has its only pole at $z=\zeta$ and hence it equals

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dw \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{\Delta n-1,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{\zeta^{k-(n_1+1)}G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(w-\omega)(w-\zeta)}. \tag{3.51}$$

The w-integral has poles at $w=\omega$ and $w=\zeta$ and consequently (3.51) equals

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{\zeta^{n_1+1-k}\omega^{\ell-(n_1+2)}}{\omega-\zeta} + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_2-k,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\zeta)}{G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)(\zeta-\omega)}. \tag{3.52}$$

The first integral in (3.52) equals $-\delta_{\ell,k}1(\ell \le n_1+1)$ and in the second one the ζ-integral has its only pole at $\zeta=\omega$ and hence equals $\delta_{\ell,k}$. Thus, the integral in (3.52) equals $\delta_{\ell,k}1(\ell > n_1+1)$ and we see from (3.50) that

$$B(\ell,k) = -\delta_{k,n_1+1}\delta_{\ell,n_1+1} + \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\left(-\int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dw + \int_{\Gamma_{D_2}} dz \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dw + \int_{\gamma_{r_1}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{D_1}} dw\right)$$
$$\times \int_{\gamma_{\tau_1}} d\zeta \int_{\gamma_{\tau_2}} d\omega \frac{G_{n_1+1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z)G_{\Delta n-1,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w)}{G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta)G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega)}\frac{1}{(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)}.$$

This leads to the formula (3.35) by using an argument that is analogous to how we proved (3.34). □

Before we can carry out the asymptotic analysis of the expression for $Q(h)$ in (3.13) we have to rewrite it further. Define

$$\tilde{a}_0(\ell,n_1) = a_0(\ell,n_1) + a_2^*(\ell) = a_{0,1}(\ell,n_1) + c_2(\ell,n_1) - 1(\ell \le n_1)c_3(\ell,n_1). \tag{3.53}$$

Set

$$V(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') = \begin{pmatrix} b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{c},n_1) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}') \\ a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}') & \tilde{a}_0(\mathbf{c}',n_1) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}') \\ b(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}') & b(n_1+1,n_1) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}') \\ a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}') & \tilde{a}_0(\mathbf{d},n_1) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') \\ b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{d}',n_1) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}') \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.54}$$

$$U(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') = \begin{pmatrix} b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{c},n_1) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}') \\ a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}') & \tilde{a}_0(\mathbf{c}',n_1) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}') \\ a_0(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}) & a_0(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}') & \tilde{a}_0(n_1+1,n_1) & a_0(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}) & a_0(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}') \\ a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}') & \tilde{a}_0(\mathbf{d},n_1) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') \\ b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{d}',n_1) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}') \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.55}$$

and

$$M_h(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') = \begin{pmatrix} b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}') \\ A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}) & A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}') & A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}) & A_h(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}') \\ A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}) & A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}') & A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}) & A_h(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') \\ b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}') \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.56}$$

Define

$$Q_1'(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1} \sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<}} \det V(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}') \tag{3.57}$$

and

$$Q_2'(0) = \sum_{r=1}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1} \sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^{r-1}_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<}} \det U(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}'). \tag{3.58}$$

If A is an $n\times n$-matrix and $1\le i,j\le n$, we let $A(\{i\}',\{j\}')$ denote the matrix A with row i and column j removed. Set (recall $L=2r+s+t$)

$$Q_3'(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=1}^{n_1} \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<\\ c_s'=n_1}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d_1'=n_1+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} (-1)^{r+s+j} a_3^*(f_j) \det M_0(\{r+s\}',\{j\}'), \tag{3.59}$$

where we use the notation

$$f_j = \begin{cases} c_j & \text{if } 1\le j\le r \\ c_{j-r}' & \text{if } r<j\le r+s \\ d_{j-r-s} & \text{if } r+s<j\le 2r+s \\ d_{j-2r-s}' & \text{if } 2r+s<j\le L \end{cases}. \tag{3.60}$$

Also, set

$$Q_4'(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{\substack{s=0\\ r+s\ge 1}}^{n_1} \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d_1'=n_1+1}} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s} \sum_{j=1}^{L} (-1)^{i+j+1} a_2^*(f_i) a_3^*(f_j) \det M_0(\{i\}',\{j\}'). \tag{3.61}$$

Similarly, we define

$$Q_5'(0) = \sum_{r=1}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=1}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_< \\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_< \\ c'_s=n_1}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_< \\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_< \\ d_1=n_1+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{L}(-1)^{r+s+j} a_3^*(f_j)\det M_0(\{r+s\}',\{j\}'), \tag{3.62}$$

and

$$Q_6'(0) = \sum_{r=1}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_< \\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_< \\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_< \\ d_1=n_1+1}} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s}\sum_{j=1}^{L}(-1)^{i+j} a_2^*(f_i)a_3^*(f_j)\det M_0(\{i\}',\{j\}'). \tag{3.63}$$

Note that the expressions $Q_1'(0)$ and $Q_2'(0)$ have a very similar structure, and this is true also for $Q_3'(0)$ and $Q_5'(0)$, as well as for $Q_4'(0)$ and $Q_6'(0)$.

In section 4 we will compute the asymptotics of $Q_k'(0)$, $1\le k\le 6$, which is all we need because of the next lemma and proposition 2.4.

Lemma 3.4. *We have the formula*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\bigg|_{h=0} Q(h) = \sum_{k=1}^{6} Q_k'(0), \tag{3.64}$$

with $Q_k'(0)$ as defined above.

Proof. From (3.35) we see that $B(\ell,k)=b(\ell,k)$ unless $k=\ell=n_1+1$ in which case $B(n_1+1,n_1+1)=-1+b(n_1+1,n_1+1)$. This case can occur in the formula (3.13) for $Q(h)$ if and only if $d_1'=n_1+1$, which requires $t\ge 1$. Let E_{2r+s+1} be the matrix which is zero everywhere except at position $(2r+s+1,2r+s+1)$ where it is $=1$. In the sum in (3.13) we can assume that $d_1\neq d_1'$ since otherwise the determinant is $=0$. Hence, by (3.13) we can write

$$Q(h) = q_0(h)+q_1(h)+q_2(h), \tag{3.65}$$

where

$$q_0(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_< \\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_< \\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_< \\ d_1\neq d_1'}} \det M_h, \tag{3.66}$$

where M_h is given by (3.56),

$$q_1(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_< \\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_< \\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_< \\ d_1'=n_1+1}} \det\left(-E_{2r+s+1}+M_h\right), \tag{3.67}$$

and

$$q_2(h) = \sum_{r=1}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_< \\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_< \\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_< \\ d_1=n_1+1}} \det M_h. \tag{3.68}$$

We see from (3.67) that

$$q_1(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1} \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}} \det M_h \tag{3.69}$$

$$- \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1} \sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-1} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<}} \det M_h := q_3(h) - q_4(h).$$

Note that

$$q_0(h) - q_4(h) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^{\Delta n}_<}} \det M_h = 0$$

since $[n_1+2,n_2]^{\Delta n}_< = \emptyset$. Thus, by (3.65),

$$Q(h) = q_2(h) + q_3(h). \tag{3.70}$$

If A is a matrix and $\mathbf{v}$ a row vector, $(A|\mathbf{v})_{\mathrm{row}\,(i)}$ will denote the matrix obtained by replacing row i in A with $\mathbf{v}$. Similarly, if $\mathbf{v}$ is a column vector, $(A|\mathbf{v})_{\mathrm{col}\,(j)}$ will denote the matrix obtained by replacing column j in A with $\mathbf{v}$. Let

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^*(f_i,\mathbf{c}) & A_0^*(f_i,\mathbf{c}') & A_0^*(f_i,\mathbf{d}) & A_0^*(f_i,\mathbf{d}') \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.71}$$

where A_0^* is given by (3.33); recall (3.36). We see then that

$$\begin{aligned} q_3'(0) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial h}\bigg|_{h=0} q_3(h) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{\substack{s=0\\ r+s\geq 1}}^{n_1} \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s} \det(M_0|\mathbf{v}_i)_{\mathrm{row}\,(i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

We have to have $r+s\geq 1$ to get a non-zero contribution when taking the h-derivative. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} q_2'(0) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial h}\bigg|_{h=0} q_2(h) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{s=0}^{n_1} \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<\\ d_1=n_1+1}} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s} \det(M_0|\mathbf{v}_i)_{\mathrm{row}\,(i)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

Expand the determinant in (3.72) along row i. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} q_3'(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)} \sum_{\substack{s=0\\ r+s\geq 1}}^{n_1} \sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}} \sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s} \sum_{j=1}^{L} (-1)^{i+j+1} \\ \times \left(\delta_{f_i,n_1} - a_2^*(f_i)\right)\left(\delta_{f_j,n_1+1} - a_3^*(f_j)\right) \det M_0(\{i\}',\{j\}'), \end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

where we have used (3.33c) and (3.71). Now,

$$\left(\delta_{f_i,n_1}-a_2^*(f_i)\right)\left(\delta_{f_j,n_1+1}-a_3^*(f_j)\right)=\delta_{f_i,n_1}\delta_{f_j,n_1+1}-\delta_{f_i,n_1}a_3^*(f_j)-\delta_{f_j,n_1+1}a_2^*(f_i)+a_2^*(f_i)a_3^*(f_j)$$

leads to a corresponding decomposition

$$q'_3(0)=q'_{3,1}(0)+q'_{3,2}(0)+q'_{3,3}(0)+q'_{3,4}(0). \tag{3.75}$$

We will now show that $Q'_1(0)=q'_{3,1}(0)+q'_{3,3}(0)$, $Q'_3(0)=q'_{3,2}(0)$ and $Q'_4(0)=q'_{3,4}(0)$. A similar argument for (3.73) will give $Q'_2(0)+Q'_5(0)+Q'_6(0)$.

The term $\delta_{f_i,n_1}\delta_{f_j,n_1+1}$ requires $j=2r+s+1$ and $f_{2r+s+1}=d'_1=n_1+1$, and $i=r+s$ and $f_{r+s}=c'_s=n_1$. Hence, $s\geq 1$ and we obtain

$$q'_{3,1}(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=1}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<\\ c'_s=n_1}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}}(-1)^r\det M_0(\{r+s\}',\{2r+s+1\}'). \tag{3.76}$$

The term $-\delta_{f_i,n_1}a_3^*(f_j)$ requires $i=r+s$, and gives

$$q'_{3,2}(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=1}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<\\ c'_s=n_1}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}}\sum_{j=1}^{L}(-1)^{r+s+j}a_3^*(f_j)\det M_0(\{r+s\}',\{j\}'), \tag{3.77}$$

which is equal to $Q'_3(0)$ as defined by (3.59). The term $-\delta_{f_j,n_1+1}a_2^*(f_i)$ requires $j=2r+s+1$, which gives

$$q'_{3,3}(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{\substack{s=0\\ r+s\geq 1}}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}}\sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s}(-1)^{i+2r+s+1}a_2^*(f_i)\det M_0(\{i\}',\{2r{+}s{+}1\}').$$

If we write

$$\mathbf{a}_2^*=\begin{pmatrix}0\\ a_2^*(\mathbf{c}')\\ a_2^*(\mathbf{d})\\ 0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.78}$$

where the blocks have length r,s,r and t respectively, we see that

$$q'_{3,3}(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{\substack{s=0\\ r+s\geq 1}}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}}\det(M_0|\mathbf{a}_2^*)_{\mathrm{col}\,(2r+s+1)}. \tag{3.79}$$

Finally, we get

$$q'_{3,4}(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{\substack{s=0\\ r+s\geq 1}}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d'_1=n_1+1}}\sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s}\sum_{j=1}^{L}(-1)^{i+j+1}a_2^*(f_i)a_3^*(f_j)\det M_0(\{i\}',\{j\}'),$$

which is $Q'_4(0)$. We can now split (3.73) in the same way,

$$q'_2(0)=q'_{2,1}(0)+q'_{2,2}(0)+q'_{2,3}(0)+q'_{2,4}(0), \tag{3.80}$$

where

$$q'_{2,1}(0)=\sum_{r=1}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=1}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<\\ c'_s=n_1}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<\\ d_1=n_1+1}}\det M_0(\{r+s\}',\{2r+s+1\}'),\tag{3.81}$$

$q'_{2,2}(0)=Q'_5(0)$, with $Q'_5(0)$ given by (3.62),

$$q'_{2,3}(0)=\sum_{r=1}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=0}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+1,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<\\ d_1=n_1+1}}\det(M_0|\mathbf{a}_2^*)_{\mathrm{col}\,(r+s+1)},\tag{3.82}$$

and, with $Q'_6(0)$ given by (3.63), $q'_{2,4}(0)=Q'_6(0)$.

From (3.70), (3.75) and (3.80) we see that

$$\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\right|_{h=0}Q(h)=q'_{3,1}(0)+q'_{3,3}(0)+q'_{2,1}(0)+q'_{2,3}(0)+\sum_{k=3}^{6}Q'_k(0).\tag{3.83}$$

In order to prove the lemma it remains to show that

$$Q'_1(0)=q'_{3,1}(0)+q'_{3,3}(0)\ ,\ Q'_2(0)=q'_{2,1}(0)+q'_{2,3}(0).\tag{3.84}$$

In the expression (3.76) for $q'_{3,1}(0)$ we move row $2r+s+1$ to row $r+s+1$. This gives a sign change $(-1)^r$. We then shift the s-and t-summations by 1, using the fact that $d'_1=n_1+1$ and $c'_s=n_1$ are fixed. This gives

$$q'_{3,1}(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=0}^{n_1-1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1-1]^s_<}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<}}$$
$$\det\begin{pmatrix} b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{c},n_1) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}')\\ a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}') & a_0(\mathbf{c}',n_1) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}')\\ b(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}') & b(n_1+1,n_1) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}')\\ a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}') & a_0(\mathbf{d},n_1) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}')\\ b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}') & b(\mathbf{d}',n_1) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}'). \end{pmatrix}\tag{3.85}$$

In the expression (3.79) for $q'_{3,3}(0)$ we move row $2r+s+1$ to row $r+s+1$ and column $2r+s+1$ to column $r+s+1$. This gives no net sign change. Note that if $r+s=0$ then $\mathbf{a}_2^*=0$ so we can remove the condition $r+s\geq 1$ in the summation in (3.79). Also, we shift the t-summation by 1. We obtain

$$q'_{3,3}(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=0}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n-1}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<}}$$
$$\det\begin{pmatrix} b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{c}') & 0 & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}')\\ a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{c}') & a_2^*(\mathbf{c}') & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}')\\ b(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{c}') & 0 & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}) & b(n_1+1,\mathbf{d}')\\ a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{c}') & a_2^*(\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}) & a_0(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{d}')\\ b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{c}') & 0 & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}) & b(\mathbf{d}',\mathbf{d}') \end{pmatrix}.\tag{3.86}$$

Note that the $\mathbf{c}'$-summation in (3.85) can be extended to $[1,n_1]^s_<$, since if $c'_s=n_1$, then two columns in the determinant in (3.85) are equal. In fact, if $s\geq 1$ so that the sum is non-trivial, then extending the summation to $\mathbf{c}' \in [1, n_1]^s_<$ means that we also have the case $c'_s = n_1$, and in this case the columns $r+s$ and $r+s+1$ are equal. Also, we can extend the $s-$summation to $s = n_1$ since in that case we must have $c'_s = n_1$. We can thus add the two formulas (3.85) and (3.86) and this gives the first formula in (3.84) with $\tilde{a}_0(\ell, n_1) = a_0((\ell, n_1) + a_2^*(\ell)$, which agrees with (3.53). The proof of the second formula in (3.84) is analogous.

□

4. Asymptotics and proof of the main theorem

We begin by recalling some notation from section 1, (1.11). Let $\lambda_i = \eta_i - \nu_i^2$, $i = 1, 2$ and write

$$\Delta\lambda = \lambda_2 \left(\frac{t_2}{\Delta t}\right)^{1/3} - \lambda_1 \left(\frac{t_1}{\Delta t}\right)^{1/3}.$$

Then,

$$\Delta\eta = \Delta\lambda + \Delta\nu^2, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Delta\nu$ is given by (1.11). We will write

$$N_1 = t_1 M \ , \ N_2 = \Delta t M, \tag{4.2}$$

where we will let $M \to \infty$ as in theorem 1.1. The scalings in (1.8) and in the arguments ℓ, k can then be written

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &= N_1 + \nu_1 N_1^{2/3} \ , \ n_2 = t_2 M + \nu_2 (t_2 M)^{2/3} \ , \ \Delta n = n_2 - n_1 = N_2 + \Delta\nu N_2^{2/3} \\ \mu_1 &= N_1 - \nu_1 N_1^{2/3} \ , \ \mu_2 = t_2 M - \nu_2 (t_2 M)^{2/3} \ , \ \Delta\mu = \mu_2 - \mu_1 = N_2 - \Delta\nu N_2^{2/3} \\ \xi_1 &= 2N_1 + \lambda_1 N_1^{1/3} \ , \ \xi_2 = 2t_2 M + \lambda_2 (t_2 M)^{1/3} \ , \ \Delta\xi = \xi_2 - \xi_1 = 2N_2 + \Delta\lambda N_2^{2/3} \\ \ell &= n_1 + 1 + x N_1^{1/3} \ , \ k = n_1 + y N_1^{1/3}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where we have ignored integer parts.

We will now state two lemmas that we will need in order to prove theorem 1.1 from proposition 3.2 and lemma 3.4. The proofs of the lemmas is postponed to section 6.

Lemma 4.1. *Recall (3.28) to (3.31). Under the scalings (4.3) with N_1, N_2 given by (4.2) we have the following limits, uniformly for ν_i, η_i, x, y in compact sets,*

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} N_1^{1/3} a_{0,1}(\ell, k) = \phi_1(x, y), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} N_1^{1/3} b_1(\ell, k) = \psi_1(x, y), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} N_1^{1/3} c_2(\ell, k) = \phi_2(x, y), \tag{4.6}$$

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} N_1^{1/3} c_3(\ell, k) = \phi_3(x, y), \tag{4.7}$$

where ϕ_i, ψ_1 are given by (1.13) to (1.16).

We will also need some estimates in order to control the convergence of the whole expansion.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that we have the scalings (4.3) with N_1, N_2 given by (4.2). There are constants $c, C > 0$, which depend on t_i, ν_i, η_i, such that for all $M \geq 1$,*

$$\left| N_1^{1/3} a_{0,1}(\ell, k) \right| \leq C e^{-c(x_+^{3/2} + (-y)_+^{3/2}) + C(y_+ + (-x)_+)}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}b_1(\ell,k)\right| \le Ce^{-c(x_+^{3/2}+(-y)_+^{3/2})+C(y_++(-x)_+)}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}c_2(\ell,k)\right| \le Ce^{-c(x_+^{3/2}+y_+^{3/2})+C((-y)_++(-x)_+)}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}c_3(\ell,k)\right| \le Ce^{-c((-x)_+^{3/2}+(-y)_+^{3/2})+C(y_++x_+)}, \tag{4.11}$$

for all $1 \le \ell, k \le n_2$*, where* $a_+ = \max(0,a)$*.*

As an immediate corollary of this lemma and the definitions (3.32), (3.33) and (3.53), we obtain

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that we have the scalings (4.3) with* N_1, N_2 *given by (4.2). There are constants* $c, C > 0$*, which depend on* t_i, ν_i, η_i*, such that for all* $M \ge 1$*, and all* $1 \le \ell, k \le n_2$*,*

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}a_0(\ell,k)\right| \le Ce^{-c(x_+^{3/2}+(-y)_+^{3/2})+C(y_++(-x)_+)}, \tag{4.12a}$$

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}b(\ell,k)\right| \le Ce^{-c(x_+^{3/2}+(-y)_+^{3/2})+C(y_++(-x)_+)}, \tag{4.12b}$$

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}\tilde{a}_0(\ell,n_1)\right| \le Ce^{-cx_+^{3/2}+C(-x)_+}, \tag{4.12c}$$

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}a_2^*(\ell)\right| \le Ce^{-cx_+^{3/2}+C(-x)_+}, \tag{4.12d}$$

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}a_3^*(k)\right| \le Ce^{-c(-y)_+^{3/2}+Cy_+}. \tag{4.12e}$$

Recall the formula (3.64) in lemma 3.4. We want to control the terms $Q'_k(0)$ asymptotically as $M \to \infty$.

Lemma 4.4. *We have the following limits.*

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} N_1^{1/3}Q'_k(0) = 0 \tag{4.13}$$

for $3 \le k \le 6$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^{(1)}(\eta_1,\eta_2) &:= \lim_{M\to\infty} N_1^{1/3}Q'_1(0)\\ &= \sum_{r,s,t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r!)^2 s! t!} \int_{(-\infty,0]^r} d^r x \int_{(-\infty,0]^s} d^s x' \int_{[0,\infty)^r} d^r y \int_{[0,\infty)^t} d^t y' W^{(1)}_{r,s,r,t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}'),\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where $W^{(1)}_{r,s,r,t}$ *is given by (1.19), and*

(4.15)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi^{(2)}(\eta_1,\eta_2) := \lim_{M\to\infty} N_1^{1/3}Q'_2(0)\\ &= \sum_{r=1,s,t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!s!(r-1)!t!} \int_{(-\infty,0]^r} d^r x \int_{(-\infty,0]^s} d^s x' \int_{[0,\infty)^{r-1}} d^{r-1} y \int_{[0,\infty)^t} d^t y' W^{(2)}_{r,s,r-1,t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}'),\end{aligned}$$

where $W^{(2)}_{r,s,r,t}$ *is given by (1.20).*

Proof. Consider M_0 given by (3.56) with $h = 0$. Recall (3.34). Let $[M_0]_i$ denote the i:th row in M_0, and $[M_0]^j$ the j:th column. We will use the following scalings

$$\begin{aligned} c_i &= n_1 + x_i N_1^{1/3}\ ,\ c'_i = n_1 + x'_i N_1^{1/3}\\ d_i &= n_1 + 1 + y_i N_1^{1/3}\ ,\ d'_i = n_1 + 1 + y'_i N_1^{1/3}\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

so that $x_i \leq 0$, $x_i' \leq 0$, $y_i \geq 0$, and $y_i' \geq 0$. Set

$$Y_{\max} = \max_{1\leq j\leq r} y_j + \max_{1\leq j\leq t} y_j' \,, \; X_{\max} = \max_{1\leq j\leq r}(-x_j) + \max_{1\leq j\leq s}(-x_j').$$

It follows from corollary 4.3 that under the scaling (4.16) there exist constants $c, C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]_i||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{C(\mathrm{Y}_{\max}-x_i)} & \text{if } 1\leq i\leq r \\ ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]_i||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{C(\mathrm{Y}_{\max}-x'_{i-r})} & \text{if } r< i\leq r+s \\ ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]_i||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{-cy_{i-(r+s)}^{3/2}+CY_{\max}} & \text{if } r+s< i\leq 2r+s \\ ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]_i||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{-cy'^{3/2}_{i-(2r+s)}+CY_{\max}} & \text{if } 2r+s< i\leq L \end{cases}, \tag{4.17}$$

where $L = 2r + s + t$, and

$$\begin{cases} ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]^j||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{-c(-x_j)_i^{3/2}+CX_{\max}} & \text{if } 1\leq j\leq r \\ ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]^j||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{-c(-x_{j-r})_i^{3/2}+CX_{\max}} & \text{if } r< j\leq r+s \\ ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]^j||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{C(X_{\max}-y_{j-(r+s)})} & \text{if } r+s< j\leq 2r+s \\ ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]^j||_2 \leq CL^{1/2}e^{C(X_{\max}-y'_{j-(2r+s)})} & \text{if } 2r+s< j\leq L \end{cases}. \tag{4.18}$$

From Hadamard's inequality we get the estimates

$$\left|\det\left(N_1^{1/3}M_0\right)\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{L} ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]_i||_2,$$

and

$$\left|\det\left(N_1^{1/3}M_0\right)\right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{L} ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]^j||_2,$$

from which it follows by taking the product that

$$\left|\det\left(N_1^{1/3}M_0\right)\right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^{L} ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]_i||_2^{1/2} \prod_{j=1}^{L} ||N_1^{1/3}[M_0]^j||_2^{1/2}. \tag{4.19}$$

If we use the estimates (4.17) and (4.18) in (4.19) we see that there are constants $c, C > 0$ such that

$$\left|\det\left(N_1^{1/3}M_0\right)\right| \leq C^L L^{L/2} \prod_{j=1}^{r} e^{-c(-x_j)^{3/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{s} e^{-c(-x_j')^{3/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{r} e^{-cy_j^{3/2}} \prod_{j=1}^{t} e^{-cy'^{3/2}_j}. \tag{4.20}$$

Here we have also used the fact that given a constant $c > 0$, there is a constant C so that

$$Y_{\max} \leq C + \frac{c}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{r} y_j^{3/2} + \frac{c}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{t} y_j'^{3/2},$$

and an analogous estimate for $X_{\max}$.

Consider now the expression for $Q_3'(0)$ in (3.59). If we use the estimate

$$\left|N_1^{1/3}a_3^*(n_1 + yN_1^{1/3})\right| \leq Ce^{-c(-y)_+^{3/2}+Cy_+}$$

from (4.12) and the same estimates and arguments as above we see that

$$\left|N_1^{L/3}\sum_{j=1}^{L}(-1)^{r+s+j}a_3^*(f_j)\det M_0(\{r+s\}',\{j\}')\right| \tag{4.21}$$
$$\le C^L L^{L/2}\prod_{j=1}^{r}e^{-c(-x_j)^{3/2}}\prod_{j=1}^{s}e^{-c(-x_j')^{3/2}}\prod_{j=1}^{r}e^{-cy_j^{3/2}}\prod_{j=1}^{t}e^{-cy'^{3/2}_j}.$$

Note that in (3.59), $y_1'=0$ and $x_s'=0$, so if we write

$$N_1^{1/3}Q_3'(0)=\frac{1}{N_1^{1/3}}\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=1}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<\\ c_s'=n_1}}\frac{1}{N_1^{(r+s-1)/3}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d_1'=n_1+1}}\frac{1}{N_1^{(r+t-1)/3}}$$
$$\times N_1^{L/3}\sum_{j=1}^{L}(-1)^{r+s+j}a_3^*(f_j)\det M_0(\{r+s\}',\{j\}'),$$

we see that we can control the convergence of the Riemann sum using (4.21) (note ordered variables instead of factorials), but since we have the factor $1/N_1^{1/3}$ in front of the whole expression we see that it $\to 0$ as $M\to\infty$. From (3.61) we can write

$$N_1^{1/3}Q_4'(0)=\frac{1}{N_1^{1/3}}\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{\substack{s=0\\ r+s\geq 1}}^{n_1}\sum_{t=1}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}}\frac{1}{N_1^{(r+s)/3}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+1,n_2]^t_<\\ d_1'=n_1+1}}\frac{1}{N_1^{(r+t-1)/3}}$$
$$\times N_1^{(L+1)/3}\sum_{i=r+1}^{2r+s}\sum_{j=1}^{L}(-1)^{i+j+1}a_2^*(f_i)a_3^*(f_j)\det M_0(\{i\}',\{j\}').$$

Using the estimates of a_2^* and a_3^* from corollary 4.3 it follows that we can prove an estimate analogous to (4.21) and again we see that $N_1^{1/3}Q_4'(0)\to 0$ as $M\to\infty$. This proves (4.13) for $k=3,4$. The proof for $k=5,6$ is a analogous.

From the estimates in corollary 4.3 we see that in analogy with the proof of (4.20) we can prove

$$\left|\det\left(N_1^{1/3}V\right)\right|\le C^{L+1}(L+1)^{(L+1)/2}\prod_{j=1}^{r}e^{-c(-x_j)^{3/2}}\prod_{j=1}^{s}e^{-c(-x_j')^{3/2}}\prod_{j=1}^{r}e^{-cy_j^{3/2}}\prod_{j=1}^{t}e^{-cy'^{3/2}_j}. \tag{4.22}$$

where V is given by (3.53). From (3.57) we can write

$$N_1^{1/3}Q_1'(0)=\sum_{r=0}^{\min(n_1,\Delta n)}\sum_{s=0}^{n_1}\sum_{t=0}^{\Delta n}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}\in[1,n_1]^r_<\\ \mathbf{c}'\in[1,n_1]^s_<}}\frac{1}{N_1^{(r+s)/3}}\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{d}\in[n_1+2,n_2]^r_<\\ \mathbf{d}'\in[n_1+2,n_2]^t_<}}\frac{1}{N_1^{(r+t)/3}}\det\left(N_1^{1/3}V\right). \tag{4.23}$$

It follows from lemma 4.1, (3.32), (3.33) and (3.53) that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\det\left(N_1^{1/3}V\right)=W^{(1)}_{r,s,r,t}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}',\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}').$$

From the estimate (4.22) we see that we can take the limit in (4.23) and obtain (4.14). The proof of (4.15) is completely analogous. □

We now have all the results that we need to prove theorem 1.1.

Proof. (*Proof of theorem 1.1*) Recall Proposition 2.4. In the scaling (4.3) we see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1}\mathbb{P}\left[H(\mu_1,n_1)\le \xi_1, H(\mu_2,n_2)\le \xi_2\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial h}\Big|_{h=0} N_1^{1/3}Q(h). \tag{4.24}$$

From lemma 3.4 and lemma 4.4 we see that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\Big|_{h=0} N_1^{1/3}Q(h) = \Psi^{(1)}(\eta_1,\eta_2)+\Psi^{(2)}(\eta_1,\eta_2) := \Psi(\eta_1,\eta_2) \tag{4.25}$$

uniformly for η_1,η_2 in a compact set. Let

$$X_M = \frac{H(\mu_1,n_1)-2t_1M}{(t_1M)^{1/3}}+\nu_1^2\,,\; Y_M = \frac{H(\mu_2,n_2)-2t_2M}{(t_2M)^{1/3}}+\nu_2^2.$$

Then (4.24) can be written

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_1}\mathbb{P}\left[X_M\le \eta_1, Y_M\le \eta_2\right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial h}\Big|_{h=0} N_1^{1/3}Q(h)$$

and for fixed η_1^* and $\tilde{\eta}_1$ we see that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M\le \tilde{\eta}_1, Y_M\le \eta_2\right] = \int_{\eta_1^*}^{\tilde{\eta}_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial h}\Big|_{h=0} N_1^{1/3}Q(h)\,d\eta_1.$$

From (4.25) it follows that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M\le \tilde{\eta}_1, Y_M\le \eta_2\right] = \int_{\eta_1^*}^{\tilde{\eta}_1}\Psi(\eta_1,\eta_2)\,d\eta_1. \tag{4.26}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M\le \tilde{\eta}_1, Y_M\le \eta_2\right] &\le \mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M, Y_M\le \eta_2\right]\\ &\le \mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M\le \tilde{\eta}_1, Y_M\le \eta_2\right]+\mathbb{P}\left[X_M>\tilde{\eta}_1\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

From (1.4), (4.26) and (4.27) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\eta_1^*}^{\tilde{\eta}_1}\Psi(\eta_1,\eta_2)\,d\eta_1 \le \liminf_{M\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M, Y_M\le \eta_2\right]\\ &\le \limsup_{M\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M, Y_M\le \eta_2\right] \le \int_{\eta_1^*}^{\tilde{\eta}_1}\Psi(\eta_1,\eta_2)\,d\eta_1 + 1 - F_2(\tilde{\eta}_1).\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

If we let $\tilde{\eta}_1\to\infty$ in (4.28) we see that

$$\lim_{M\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\eta_1^* < X_M, Y_M\le \eta_2\right] = \int_{\eta_1^*}^{\infty}\Psi(\eta_1,\eta_2)\,d\eta_1,$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

Note that in order for this last argument to work we need an estimate of $\Psi(\eta_1,\eta_2)$ in terms of η_1. In fact, there are constants $c,C>0$ such that

$$|\Psi(\eta_1,\eta_2)|\le Ce^{-c(\eta_1)_+^{3/2}}. \tag{4.29}$$

We will only sketch the argument for (4.29). Note that ϕ_1, ψ_1 and ϕ_3 all have a decay of the form $e^{-c(\eta_1)_+^{3/2}}$ in η_1 by known estimates for the Airy function. Hence, the difficulty is in the presence of ϕ_2. If $r\ge 1$, the first column in $W_{r,s,r,t}^{(1)}$ does not depend on ϕ_2 (we can assume $x_1<0$) and hence the first column (in a Hadamard estimate) will give the right η_1-decay. If $r = 0$, but $s \geq 1$, we can again consider the first column ($x'_1 < 0$), and get the right η_1-decay. If $r = s = 0$,

$$W^{(1)}_{0,0,0,t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}') = \begin{vmatrix} \psi(0,0) & \psi(0,\mathbf{y}') \\ \psi(\mathbf{y}',0) & \psi(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{y}') \end{vmatrix}$$

and again the first column does not depend on ϕ_2. The argument for $W^{(2)}_{r,s,r-1,t}$ is easier since we now always have $r \geq 1$. □

5. PROOF OF THE COMBINATORIAL IDENTITIES

In this section we will prove lemma 2.3.

Proof. Consider first the identity (2.9). We can write

$$\prod_{i<j}\left(\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}w_{\sigma(j)}} - \frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}}\right) = \prod_{i<j}\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}w_{\sigma(j)}}(1 - w_{\sigma(j)}).$$

Now,

$$\prod_{i<j}\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}w_{\sigma(j)}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{w^{n-i}_{\sigma(i)}}\prod_{j=2}^{n}\frac{1}{w^{j-1}_{\sigma(j)}} = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{w^{n-1-j}_{\sigma(j)}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{w^{j}_{\sigma(j)}}$$

and

$$\prod_{i<j}(1 - w_{\sigma(j)}) = \prod_{j=2}^{n}(1 - w_{\sigma(j)})^{j-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{1 - w_{\sigma(j)}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 - w_{\sigma(j)})^{j}.$$

Thus, we have the identity

$$\prod_{i<j}\left(\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}w_{\sigma(j)}} - \frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(1 - w_j)w^{n-1-j}_{\sigma(j)}}\left(\frac{1 - w_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(j)}}\right)^{j}.$$

Hence, the left side of (2.9) can be written

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{i<j}\left(\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}w_{\sigma(j)}} - \frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}}\right)\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1 - w_j)w^{n-1-j}_{\sigma(j)}}{(1 - w_{\sigma(1)})\cdots(1 - w_{\sigma(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma(n)})}$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{i<j}\left(\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}w_{\sigma(j)}} - \frac{1}{w_{\sigma(i)}}\right)\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}w_j^{-2}(1 - w_j)}{(\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(1)}} - 1)\cdots(\frac{1}{w_{\sigma(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma(n)}} - 1)}.$$

By the identity (1.7) in [20] with $p = 0, q = 1$ the last expression equals

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}w_j^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{w_j} - 1\right)\frac{1}{\frac{1}{w_j} - 1}\det\left(\frac{1}{w_j^{i-1}}\right) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{w_j^{n}}\det\left(w_j^{i-1}\right),$$

where we also used (3.3). This proves (2.9).

We now turn to the proof of (2.10). Denote the left side of (2.10) by $\omega_n(z,w)$. We will use induction on n. It is easy to see that the identity is true for $n = 1$. Fix $\sigma_1(n) = k$ and $\sigma_2(n) = \ell$.

Then

(5.1)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n}\ \sum_{\substack{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\in S_n\\ \sigma_1(n)=k,\sigma_2(n)=\ell}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_1)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_2)\left(\frac{1-z_k}{z_k}\right)^n\left(\frac{1-w_\ell}{w_\ell}\right)^n\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1-z_{\sigma_1(j)}}{z_{\sigma_1(j)}}\right)^j\left(\frac{w_{\sigma_2(j)}}{1-w_{\sigma_2(j)}}\right)^j\\ &\times\frac{1}{1-\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{w_1\cdots w_n}}\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1(1)}}{w_{\sigma_2(1)}}\right)\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1(1)}z_{\sigma_1(2)}}{w_{\sigma_2(1)}w_{\sigma_2(2)}}\right)\cdots\left(1-\frac{z_{\sigma_1(1)}\cdots z_{\sigma_1(n-1)}}{w_{\sigma_2(1)}\cdots w_{\sigma_2(n-1)}}\right)}\\ &=\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n-k+n-\ell}}{1-\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{w_1\cdots w_n}}\left(\frac{1-z_k}{z_k}\right)^n\left(\frac{w_\ell}{1-w_\ell}\right)^n\omega_{n-1}(z_1,\dots,\hat{z_k},\dots,z_n,w_1,\dots,\hat{w}_\ell,\dots,w_n),\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{z_k}(\hat{w_\ell})$ means that we leave out $z_k(w_\ell)$. By the induction hypothesis the last expression in (5.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{k+\ell}}{1-\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{w_1\cdots w_n}}\left(\frac{1-z_k}{z_k}\right)^n\left(\frac{w_\ell}{1-w_\ell}\right)^n\prod_{j\neq k}\frac{(1-z_j)^{n-1}}{z_j^{n-1}}\prod_{j\neq\ell}\frac{w_j^n}{(1-w_j)^{n-1}}\\ &\times\det\left(\frac{1}{w_k-z_j}\right)_{1\le j,k\le n}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(w_\ell-z_j)(w_j-z_k)}{(w_\ell-z_k)\prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1}(w_j-w_\ell)\prod_{j=\ell+1}^{n}(w_\ell-w_j)\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}(z_k-z_j)\prod_{j=k+1}^{n}(z_j-z_k)},\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

where we also used the Cauchy determinant formula. The expression in (5.2) can be written

$$\frac{\det\left(\frac{1}{w_k-z_j}\right)}{1-\frac{z_1\cdots z_n}{w_1\cdots w_n}}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{w_j^n(1-z_j)^{n-1}}{z_j^{n-1}(1-w_j)^{n-1}}\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n}\frac{(-1)^{n-1}(1-z_k)\prod_{j=1}^{n}(w_\ell-z_j)(w_j-z_k)}{z_k(1-w_\ell)(w_\ell-z_k)\prod_{j\neq\ell}(w_\ell-w_j)\prod_{j\neq k}(z_k-z_j)}.\tag{5.3}$$

We see from (5.3) and the final formula (2.10) that in order to complete the proof we have to show the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&(-1)^{n-1}\sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n}\frac{(1-z_k)}{z_k(1-w_\ell)(w_\ell-z_k)}\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(w_\ell-z_j)(w_j-z_k)}{\prod_{j\neq\ell}(w_\ell-w_j)\prod_{j\neq k}(z_k-z_j)}\\ &=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{w_j(1-z_j)}{z_j(1-w_j)}\left(1-\frac{z_1\dots z_n}{w_1\dots w_n}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{w_j(1-z_j)}{z_j(1-w_j)}-\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1-z_j}{1-w_j}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

We can assume that $|z_j|,|w_j|<1$, $1\le j\le n$. Take $0<r_1<r_2<1$ such that $|z_j|<r_1$, $|w_j|<r_2$ for $1\le j\le n$. Consider the contour integral

(5.5)

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}}dz\int_{\gamma_{r_2}}dw\frac{1-z}{z(1-w)(w-z)}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(w-z_j)(z-w_j)}{(w-w_j)(z-z_j)}=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}}dw\frac{1}{(1-w)w}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(w-z_j)w_j}{(w-w_j)z_j}\\ &-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_2}}dw\frac{1-z_k}{z_k(1-w)(w-z_k)}\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{(w-z_j)(z_k-w_j)}{w-w_j}\prod_{j\neq k}\frac{1}{z_k-z_j},\end{aligned}$$

where we have computed the z-integral. The first expression in the right side of (5.5) can be computed by noticing that the only pole outside γ_{r_2} (including ∞) is at $w = 1$ and this gives

$$-\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w_j(1-z_j)}{z_j(1-w_j)}$$

The second expression in the right side of (5.5) equals

$$(-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{n} \frac{(1-z_k)}{z_k(1-w_\ell)(w_\ell - z_k)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n}(w_\ell - z_j)(w_j - z_k)}{\prod_{j\neq\ell}(w_\ell - w_j)\prod_{j\neq k}(z_k - z_j)}$$

and thus by comparing (5.4) and (5.5) we see that it remains to show

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}} dz \int_{\gamma_{r_2}} dw \frac{1-z}{z(1-w)(w-z)} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(w-z_j)(w_j-z)}{(w-w_j)(z-z_j)} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1-z_j}{1-w_j}. \tag{5.6}$$

The w-integral in (5.6) has its only pole outside γ_{r_2} at $w = 1$ which gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{r_1}} \frac{dz}{z} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w_j - z}{z_j - z} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1-z_j}{1-w_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1-z_j}{1-w_j} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{1/r_1}} \frac{dz}{z} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{zw_j - 1}{zz_j - 1} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1-z_j}{1-w_j},$$

since the only pole in the last z-integral is at $z = 0$. $\square$

6. Asymptotic analysis

In this section we will prove lemma 4.1 and lemma 4.2. Recall the notations and scalings (4.1) to (4.3). Define, with k and ℓ as in (4.3),

$$f_1(z;x) = (\ell - 1)\log z + \frac{1}{2}\mu_1 z^2 - \xi_1 z$$
$$f_2(z;y) = (n_2 - k)\log z + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\mu z^2 - \Delta\xi z$$

and note that $n_2 - k = \Delta n - yN_1^{1/3}$. Recall the notation (3.9) and the definitions (3.28)- (3.31). We have that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n_1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z) &= e^{f_1(z;0)} \ ,\ G_{\Delta n,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w) = e^{f_2(w;0)} \\ G_{k,\mu_1,\xi_1}(\zeta) &= e^{f_1(\zeta;y)} \ ,\ G_{n_2+1-\ell,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(\omega) = e^{f_2(\omega;x)} \\ G_{n_2-k,\Delta\mu,\Delta\xi}(w) &= e^{f_2(w;y)} \ ,\ G_{\ell-1,\mu_1,\xi_1}(z) = e^{f_1(z;x)} \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Let d_i, $1 \le i \le 4$, be some positive parameters that will be chosen later. Introduce the following contour parametrizations

$$\begin{aligned} z(t_1) &= 1 + (d_1 + it_1)N_1^{-1/3} \ ,\ t_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \zeta(s_1) &= (1 - d_2 N_1^{-1/3})e^{is_1 N_1^{-1/3}} \ ,\ s_1 \in I_1 = [-\pi N_1^{1/3}, \pi N_1^{1/3}], \\ w(t_2) &= 1 + (d_3 + it_2)N_2^{-1/3} \ ,\ t_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \omega(s_2) &= (1 - d_4 N_2^{-1/3})e^{is_2 N_2^{-1/3}} \ ,\ s_2 \in I_1 = [-\pi N_2^{1/3}, \pi N_2^{1/3}]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t_1;x) &= \operatorname{Re} f_1(z(t_1);x) \ ,\ h_1(s_1;x) = \operatorname{Re} f_1(\zeta(s_1);x) \\ g_2(t_2;x) &= \operatorname{Re} f_2(w(t_2);y) \ ,\ h_2(s_2;y) = \operatorname{Re} f_1(\omega(s_2);y). \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= d_1 - \nu_1 + \frac{1}{2}(d_1^2 - 2\nu_1 d_1 - x)N_1^{-1/3} - \frac{1}{2}\nu_1 d_1^2 N_1^{-2/3}\\ \Delta_2 &= 2(d_2 + \nu_1) + (\eta_1 - \nu_1^2 - 2\nu_1 d_2)N_1^{-1/3},\\ \Delta_3 &= d_3 - \Delta\nu + \frac{1}{2}(d_3^2 - 2\Delta\nu d_3 + y)N_2^{-1/3} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\nu d_3^2 N_2^{-2/3}\\ \Delta_4 &= 2(d_4 + \Delta\nu) + (\Delta\eta - \Delta\nu^2 - 2\Delta\nu d_4)N_2^{-1/3}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that, for M large,*

$$1 \le d_1 \le N_1^{1/3}\ ,\ 1 \le \Delta_1 \le N_1^{1/3}, \tag{6.5}$$

$$1 \le d_2 \le \frac{1}{2}N_1^{1/3}\ ,\ \Delta_2 \ge 1, \tag{6.6}$$

$$1 \le d_3 \le N_3^{1/3}\ ,\ 1 \le \Delta_3 \le N_3^{1/3}, \tag{6.7}$$

$$1 \le d_4 \le \frac{1}{2}N_2^{1/3}\ ,\ \Delta_4 \ge 1. \tag{6.8}$$

Then,

$$g_1(t_1; x) - g_1(0; x) \le -\frac{\Delta_1}{20}t_1^2 \tag{6.9}$$

for all $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$h_1(s_1; x) - h_1(0; x) \ge \frac{\Delta_2}{20}s_1^2 \tag{6.10}$$

for all $s_1 \in I_1$. Furthermore

$$g_2(t_2; y) - g_2(0; y) \le -\frac{\Delta_3}{20}t_2^2 \tag{6.11}$$

for all $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$h_2(s_2; y) - h_2(0; y) \ge \frac{\Delta_4}{20}s_2^2 \tag{6.12}$$

for all $s_2 \in I_2$.

Proof. By (6.2) and (6.3),

$$g_1(t_1; x) = \frac{\ell - 1}{2}\log\left((1 + d_1 N_1^{-1/3})^2 + N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_1\left((1 + d_1 N_1^{-1/3})^2 - N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2\right) - \xi_1(1 + d_1 N_1^{-1/3}). \tag{6.13}$$

Thus,

$$g_1'(t_1; x) = N_1^{-2/3}t_1\left(\frac{\ell - 1 - \mu_1\left((1 + d_1 N_1^{-1/3})^2 + N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2\right)}{(1 + d_1 N_1^{-1/3})^2 + N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2}\right),$$

and by introducing the scalings (4.3) we obtain

$$g_1'(t_1; x) = -t_1\left(\frac{2\Delta_1 + \left(N_1^{-1/3} - \nu_1 N_1^{-2/3}\right)t_1^2}{(1 + d_1 N_1^{-1/3})^2 + N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2}\right). \tag{6.14}$$

If $0 \le t_1 \le N_1^{1/3}$, then $(1+d_1N_1^{-1/3})^2 + N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2 \le 5$ by (6.5), and if M is large enough $N_1^{-1/3} - \nu_1 N_1^{-2/3} \ge 0$, so (6.14) gives

$$g_1'(t_1;x) \le -\frac{\Delta_1}{5}t_1. \tag{6.15}$$

If $t_1 \ge N_1^{1/3}$ and M is sufficiently large, then (6.14) gives

$$g_1'(t_1;x) \le -t_1\frac{\frac{1}{2}N_1^{-1/3}t_1^2}{(1+d_1N_1^{-1/3})^2 + N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2} \le -t_1\frac{\frac{1}{2}N_1^{-1/3}t_1^2}{5N_1^{-2/3}t_1^2} \le -\frac{1}{10}N_1^{1/3}t_1 \le -\frac{\Delta_1}{10}t_1,$$

by (6.5). Hence, (6.15) holds for all $t_1 \ge 0$, and we have proved (6.9) for $t_1 \ge 0$. The case $t_1 \le 0$ follows by symmetry.

Consider now h_1. We have that

$$h_1(s_1;x) = (\ell-1)\log(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3}) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_1(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})^2\cos 2N_1^{-1/3}s_1 - \xi_1(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})\cos N_1^{-1/3}s_1 \tag{6.16}$$

and hence

$$h_1'(s_1;x) = N_1^{-1/3}(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})\sin N_1^{-1/3}s_1\left(\xi_1 - 2\mu_1(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})\cos N_1^{-1/3}s_1\right). \tag{6.17}$$

From the scaling (4.3) we see that if M is sufficiently large then $\xi_1 - 2\mu_1(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})\cos N_1^{-1/3}s_1 \ge N_1^{2/3}\Delta_2$ and hence,

$$h_1(s_1;x) - h_1(0;x) \ge N_1^{1/3}(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})\Delta_2\int_0^{s_1}\sin N_1^{-1/3}t\,dt \ge \frac{\Delta_2}{2}N_1^{2/3}(1-\cos N_1^{-1/3}s_1)$$

by (6.6) for all $s_1 \in I_1$. If $|N_1^{-1/3}s_1| \in [0,\pi/2]$, then

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\cos N_1^{-1/3}s_1) = \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}N_1^{-1/3}s_1\right) \ge \frac{1}{4}N_1^{-2/3}s_1^2$$

and hence $h_1(s_1;x) - h_1(0;x) \ge \frac{1}{4}\Delta_2 s_1^2$. If $|N_1^{-1/3}s_1| \in [\pi/2,\pi]$, then $1-\cos N_1^{-1/3}s_1 \ge 1$, and

$$h_1(s_1;x) - h_1(0;x) \ge \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 N_1^{2/3} \ge \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\Delta_2 s_1^2 \ge \frac{\Delta_2}{20}s_1^2.$$

Exactly the same argument gives (6.11) and (6.12). □

We will now prove lemma 4.1.

Proof. (*Proof of lemma 4.1*) All the limits below will be uniform for ν_i, η_i, x, y in compact sets. Write

$$u_1(t_1) = d_1 + it_1\,,\ u_2(t_2) = d_3 + it_2\,,\ v_1(s_1) = -d_2 + is_1\,,\ v_2(s_2) = -d_4 + is_2.$$

Since ν_i, η_i, x, y belongs to a compact set it is clear that we can choose d_i, $1 \le i \le 4$, constant but so large that (6.5), (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8) hold for all sufficiently large M. Recall the definition (1.7) of α. In (3.28) we will use the parametrizations (6.2) and we choose d_1 and d_3 so that

$$\alpha d_3 - d_1 \ge 1 \tag{6.18}$$

which ensures that the z- and w-contours have the right ordering. If we let

$$J(t_1,s_1,t_2,s_2) = \frac{(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})(1-d_4N_1^{-1/3})e^{is_1N_1^{-1/3}+is_2N_2^{-1/3}}}{N_1^{2/3}N_2^{1/3}(z(t_1)-w(t_2))(z(t_1)-\zeta(s_1))(w(t_2)-\omega(s_2))},$$

then

$$\frac{N_1^{1/3} dz dw d\zeta d\omega}{(z-w)(z-\zeta)(w-\omega)} = \alpha J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2) dt_1 ds_1 dt_2 ds_2 \tag{6.19}$$

and

$$J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2) \to \frac{1}{(u_1(t_1) - \alpha u_2(t_2))(u_1(t_1) - v_1(s_1))(u_2(t_2) - v_2(s_2))} \tag{6.20}$$

as $M \to \infty$; also J is bounded. Furthermore,

$$f_1(z(t_1); x) - f_1(1; x) \to \frac{1}{3} u_1(t_1)^3 - \nu_1 u_1(t_1)^2 - (\lambda_1 - x) u_1(t_1), \tag{6.21}$$

$$f_1(\zeta(s_1); x) - f_1(1; x) \to \frac{1}{3} v_1(s_1)^3 - \nu_1 v_1(s_1)^2 - (\lambda_1 - x) v_1(s_1), \tag{6.22}$$

$$f_2(w(t_2); y) - f_2(1; y) \to \frac{1}{3} u_2(t_2)^3 - \Delta\nu u_2(t_2)^2 - (\Delta\lambda + \alpha y) u_2(t_2), \tag{6.23}$$

$$f_2(\omega(s_2); y) - f_2(1; y) \to \frac{1}{3} v_2(s_2)^3 - \Delta\nu v_2(s_2)^2 - (\Delta\lambda + \alpha y) v_2(s_2)$$

as $M \to \infty$.

It follows from (3.28) and (6.1) that

$$N_1^{1/3} a_{0,1}(\ell, k) = \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt_1 \int_{I_1} ds_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt_2 \int_{I_2} ds_2 J(t_1, s_1, t_2, s_2) \frac{e^{f_1(z(t_1);0) + f_2(w(t_2);0)}}{e^{f_1(\zeta(s_1);y) + f_2(\omega(s_2);x)}}. \tag{6.24}$$

The integrand in (6.24) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &C e^{g_1(t_1;0) + g_2(t_2;0) - h_1(s_1;y) - h_2(s_2;x)} \\ &\le C e^{g_1(0;0) + g_2(0;0) - h_1(0;y) - h_2(0;x) - \frac{1}{20}(t_1^2 + s_1^2 + t_2^2 + s_2^2)} \le C e^{-\frac{1}{20}(t_1^2 + s_1^2 + t_2^2 + s_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from lemma 6.1 since $\Delta_i \ge 1$, and the second inequality follows from (6.21) by letting $t_1 = s_1 = t_2 = s_2 = 0$ and taking real parts. Thus, by the dominated convergence theorem we can take the limit $M \to \infty$ in (6.24) and get

(6.25)

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{M \to \infty} N_1^{1/3} a_{0,1}(\ell, k) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds_2 \frac{1}{(u_1(t_1) - \alpha u_2(t_2))(u_1(t_1) - v_1(s_1))(u_2(t_2) - v_2(s_2))} \\ &\times \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3} u_1(t_1)^3 - \nu_1 u_1(t_1)^2 - \lambda_1 u_1(t_1) + \frac{1}{3} u_2(t_2)^3 - \Delta\nu u_2(t_2)^2 - \Delta\lambda u_2(t_2)}}{e^{\frac{1}{3} v_1(s_1)^3 - \nu_1 v_1(s_1)^2 - (\lambda_1 - y) v_1(s_1) + \frac{1}{3} v_2(s_2)^3 - \Delta\nu v_2(s_2)^2 - (\Delta\lambda + \alpha x) v_2(s_2)}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{\Gamma_{d_1}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{d_3}} dw \int_{\Gamma_{-d_2}} d\zeta \int_{\Gamma_{-d_4}} d\omega \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3} z^3 - \nu_1 z^2 - \lambda_1 z + \frac{1}{3} w^3 - \Delta\nu w^2 - \Delta\lambda w}}{(z - \alpha w)(z - \zeta)(w - \omega) e^{\frac{1}{3} \zeta^3 - \nu_1 \zeta^2 - (\lambda_1 - y)\zeta + \frac{1}{3} \omega^3 - \Delta\nu \omega^2 - (\Delta\lambda + \alpha x)\omega}} \\ &= \phi_1(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_1 is given by (1.12). Recall the condition in (6.25). The last equality is a straightforward rewriting of the contour integral in terms of Airy functions, see the end of this section. This proves (4.4). The limit of $N_1^{1/3} b_1(\ell, k)$ is the same as the right side of (6.25), but we have the condition

$d_1 > \alpha d_3$ instead. For c_2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{M\to\infty} N_1^{1/3} c_2(\ell,k) &= \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_{d_3}} dw \int_{\Gamma_{-d_4}} d\omega \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3}w^3-\Delta\nu w^2-(\Delta\lambda+\alpha y)w}}{(w-\omega)e^{\frac{1}{3}\omega^3-\Delta\nu\omega^2-(\Delta\lambda+\alpha x)\omega}} \\ &= \phi_2(x,y),\end{aligned}\tag{6.26}$$

and for c_3,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{M\to\infty} N_1^{1/3} c_3(\ell,k) &= \frac{\alpha}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma_{d_1}} dz \int_{\Gamma_{-d_2}} d\zeta \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3}z^3-\nu_1 z^2-(\lambda_1-x)z}}{(z-\zeta)e^{\frac{1}{3}\zeta^3-\nu_1\zeta^2-(\lambda_1-y)\zeta}} \\ &= \phi_3(x,y).\end{aligned}\tag{6.27}$$

□

We turn now to the proof of lemma 4.2.

Proof. (*Proof of lemma 4.2*) To prove the estimate (4.8) we will use (6.24) but we will make appropriate choices of the d_i's in order to get the estimate. From (6.24) we find

$$\left|N_1^{1/3} a_{0,1}(\ell,k)\right| \le \frac{C}{|d_1-\alpha d_3|(d_1+d_2)(d_3+d_4)}\int_{\mathbb{R}} dt_1 \int_{I_1} ds_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt_2 \int_{I_2} ds_2 e^{g_1(t_1;0)-h_1(s_1;y)+g_2(t_2;0)-h_2(s_2;x)}.\tag{6.28}$$

We will choose d_i so that the conditions (6.5), (6.6), (6.7), (6.8) and (6.18) are satisfied. Hence, it follows from (6.28) and lemma 6.1 that

$$\left|N_1^{1/3} a_{0,1}(\ell,k)\right| \le C e^{g_1(0;0)-h_1(0;y)+g_2(0;0)-h_2(s_2;x)}.\tag{6.29}$$

From (6.13), (6.16) and the scalings (4.3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(0;x) &= (N_1+\nu_1 N_1^{2/3}+xN^{1/3})\log(1+d_1N_1^{-1/3}) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(N_1-\nu_1N_1^{2/3})(1+d_1N_1^{-1/3})^2-(2N_1+\lambda_1N^{1/3})(1+d_1N_1^{-1/3})\end{aligned}\tag{6.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(0;y) &= (N_1+\nu_1 N_1^{2/3}+yN^{1/3})\log(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3}) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}(N_1-\nu_1N_1^{2/3})(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})^2-(2N_1+\lambda_1N^{1/3})(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3}).\end{aligned}\tag{6.31}$$

It is straightforward to show that

$$\log(1+x) \le x-\frac{x^2}{2}+\frac{x^3}{3}\tag{6.32}$$

for all $x \ge 0$, and

$$\log(1-x) \ge -x-\frac{x^2}{2}-\frac{x^3}{3(1-x)^3}\tag{6.33}$$

if $0 \le x < 1$. If we use the estimate (6.32) in (6.30) we get

$$g_1(0;x) \le -\frac{3}{2}N_1-\frac{1}{2}\nu_1N_1^{2/3}-\lambda_1N^{1/3}+\frac{1}{3}d_1^3\left(1+\nu_1N_1^{-1/3}+xN_1^{2/3}\right)-\nu_1d_1^2-\lambda_1d_1+x\left(d_1-\frac{1}{2}d_1^2N_1^{-1/3}\right).\tag{6.34}$$

Similarly, using (6.33) in (6.31) we find

$$h_1(0;y) \ge -\frac{3}{2}N_1-\frac{1}{2}\nu_1N_1^{2/3}-\lambda_1N^{1/3}+\frac{1}{3}d_2^3\left(\frac{1+\nu_1N_1^{-1/3}+yN_1^{2/3}}{(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})^3}\right)-\nu_1d_2^2+\lambda_1d_2-y\left(d_2-\frac{1}{2}d_2^2N_1^{-1/3}\right).\tag{6.35}$$

Combining (6.34) and (6.35) we obtain

$$g_1(0;x) - h_1(0;y) \leq \frac{1}{3}d_1^3\left(1+\nu_1 N_1^{-1/3} + xN_1^{2/3}\right) - \nu_1 d_1^2 - \lambda_1 d_1 + x\left(d_1 - \frac{1}{2}d_1^2 N_1^{-1/3}\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{3}d_2^3\left(\frac{1+\nu_1 N_1^{-1/3}+yN_1^{2/3}}{(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})^3}\right) + \nu_1 d_2^2 - \lambda_1 d_2 + y\left(d_2 + \frac{1}{2}d_2^2 N_1^{-1/3}\right). \tag{6.36}$$

In an analogous way, we obtain

$$g_2(0;y) - h_2(0;x) \leq \frac{1}{3}d_3^3\left(1+\Delta\nu N_2^{-1/3} - yN_2^{2/3}\right) - \Delta\nu d_3^2 - \Delta\lambda d_3 - y\left(d_3 - \frac{1}{2}d_3^2 N_2^{-1/3}\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{3}d_4^3\left(\frac{1+\Delta\nu N_2^{-1/3}-xN_2^{2/3}}{(1-d_4N_2^{-1/3})^3}\right) + \Delta\nu d_4^2 - \Delta\lambda d_4 - x\left(d_4 + \frac{1}{2}d_4^2 N_2^{-1/3}\right). \tag{6.37}$$

We will use the estimates (6.36) and (6.37) in (6.29). Take

$$d_1 = k_1\,,\ d_2 = k_2 + \delta_2(-y)_+^{1/2}\,,\ d_3 = k_3\,,\ d_4 = k_4 + \delta_4 x_+^{1/2}, \tag{6.38}$$

where k_i and δ_i are to be specified.

Note that since $1 \leq \ell, k \leq n_2$, there is a constant k_0 so that $|x| \leq k_0 N_1^{2/3}$ and $|y| \leq k_0 N_1^{2/3}$. First choose k_1 large enough so that $\Delta_1 \geq 1$ holds. Then (6.5) will hold if M is large enough. We can choose k_2 so that $\Delta_2 \geq 1$ and $d_2 \geq 1$ hold provided that $d_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}N_1^{1/3}$. Now,

$$d_2 = k_2 + \delta_2(-y)_+^{1/2} \leq k_2 + k_0^{1/2}\delta_2 N_1^{1/3} \leq \frac{1}{2}N_1^{1/3}$$

for large M if we choose δ_2 small enough. With these choices (6.5) and (6.6) are satisfied for large M. In a similar way we can choose k_3, k_4 and δ_4 so that (6.7) and (6.8) hold, and we can also choose k_3 so large that (6.18) holds. Note that there is a constant C so that

$$\frac{1+\nu_1 N_1^{-1/3}+yN_1^{-2/3}}{(1-d_2N_1^{-1/3})^3} \leq C\,,\ \frac{1+\Delta\nu N_2^{-1/3}-xN_2^{-2/3}}{(1-d_4N_2^{-1/3})^3} \leq C$$

and consequently we see from (6.36) and (6.37) that

(6.39)

$$g_1(0;0) - h_1(0;y) + g_2(0;0) - h_2(0;x) \leq \frac{1}{3}d_1^3(1+\nu_1 N_1^{-1/3}) - \nu_1 d_1^2 - \lambda_1 d_1 + Cd_2^3 + \nu_1 d_2^2 - \lambda_1 d_2$$
$$+ y(d_2 + \frac{1}{2}d_2^2 1 N_1^{-1/3}) + \frac{1}{3}d_3^3(1+\Delta\nu N_2^{-1/3}) - \Delta\nu d_3^2 - \Delta\lambda d_3 + Cd_4^3 + \Delta\nu d_4^2 - \Delta\lambda d_4 - x(d_4 + \frac{1}{2}d_4^2 N_2^{-1/3})$$
$$\leq C(1 + d_2^3 + d_4^3 + d_2^2 + d_4^2 + d_2 + d_4) + y(d_2 + \frac{1}{2}d_2^2 1 N_1^{-1/3}) - x(d_4 + \frac{1}{2}d_4^2 N_2^{-1/3}),$$

since d_1 and d_3 are constants. From (6.38) we see that $d_2^3 \leq 4(k_2^3 + \delta_2^3(-y)_+^{3/2})$, $d_2^2 \leq 2(k_2^2 + \delta_2^2(-y)_+)$ and similarly for d_4. If $y \geq 0$, then

$$y(d_2 + \frac{1}{2}d_2^2 N_1^{-1/3}) \leq Cy = Cy_+,$$

since $d_2 = k_2$, and if $y < 0$, then

$$y(d_2 + \frac{1}{2}d_2^2 N_1^{-1/3}) \leq d_2 y = k_2 y - \delta_2(-y)_+^{3/3} \leq -\delta_2(-y)_+^{3/3}.$$

Thus

$$y(d_2 + \frac{1}{2}d_2^2 N_1^{-1/3}) \leq -\delta_2(-y)_+^{3/3} + Cy_+$$

for all y. Similarly,

$$-x(d_4 + \frac{1}{2}d_4^2 N_2^{-1/3}) \leq -\delta_4 x_+^{3/3} + C(-x)_+.$$

We can pick δ_2 so small that

$$C(\delta_2(-y)_+^{3/3} + \delta_2^2(-y)_+) - \delta_2(-y)_+^{3/3} \leq -c(-y)_+^{3/2},$$

$c > 0$ is a small constant. A similar argument can be done for δ_4. Using these estimates in (6.39) it follows from (6.29) that

$$\left|N_1^{1/3} a_{0,1}(\ell, k)\right| \leq Ce^{-c(x_+^{3/2} + (-y)_+^{3/2}) + C(y_+ + (-x)_+)},$$

which is what we wanted to prove. The estimates (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11) can be proved in a similar way using (6.36) and (6.37). We will not go into the details. □

Let us briefly indicate how we can go from the contour integral form of $\phi_1(x, y)$ in (6.25) to the Airy form in (1.12). We use the fact that if $D > 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_D} e^{\frac{1}{3}z^3 + Az^2 + Bz}dz = \mathrm{Ai}\,(-B + A^2)e^{-AB + \frac{2}{3}A^3} \tag{6.40}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_{-D}} e^{-\frac{1}{3}\zeta^3 + A\zeta^2 + B\zeta}d\zeta = \mathrm{Ai}\,(B + A^2)e^{AB + \frac{2}{3}A^3}. \tag{6.41}$$

Also, we write

$$\frac{1}{z - \alpha w} = -\int_0^\infty e^{\tau_1(z - \alpha w)}d\tau_1\,, \ \frac{1}{z - \zeta} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau_2(z - \zeta)}d\tau_2\,, \ \frac{1}{w - \omega} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\tau_3(w - \omega)}d\tau_3. \tag{6.42}$$

If we insert (6.42) into (6.25) and use (1.6), (6.40) and (6.41) we get (1.12) after some manipulations.

REFERENCES

[1] Yu. Baryshnikov, *GUEs and queues*, Probab. Theory Related Fields, **119** (2001), 256–274.

[2] A. Borodin and I.Corwin, *Macdonald processes*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **158** (2014), 225–400

[3] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, *Stochastic dynamics related to Plancherel measure on partitions*, in Representation theory, dynamical systems, and asymptotic combinatorics, 9-21, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 217, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006

[4] A. Borodin and L. Petrov, *Integrable probability: from representation theory to MacDonald processes*, Probab. Surv. **11** (2014), 1–58

[5] P. Bougerol, T. Jeulin, *Paths in Weyl chambers and random matrices*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **124** (2002), 517–543

[6] I. Corwin, *The Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation and universality class*, Random Matrices Theory Appl. **1** (2012), no. 1, 1130001

[7] I. Corwin, P.L. Ferrari, S. Péché, *Universality of slow de-correlation in KPZ growth*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **48** (2012), 134–150

[8] I. Corwin, Z. Liu, D. Wang, *Fluctuations of TASEP and LPP with general initial data* , arXiv:1412.5087

[9] I. Corwin and J. Quastel, *Renormalization fixed point of the KPZ universality class*, J. Stat. Phys. **160** (2015), no. 4, 815–834

[10] V. Dotsenko, *Two-time free energy distribution function in* $(1+1)$ *directed polymers*, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2013, no. 6, P06017

[11] V. Dotsenko, *Two-point free energy distribution function in (1+1) directed polymers*, J. Phys. A **46** (2013), no. 35, 355001

[12] P. L. Ferrari, *Slow decorrelations in KPZ growth*, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2008, P07022

[13] J. Gravner, C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Limit theorems for height fluctuations in a class of discrete space and time growth models*, J. Statist. Phys. **102** (2001), 1085–1132

[14] T. Imamura, T. Sasamoto, H. Spohn, *On the equal time two-point distribution of the one-dimensional KPZ equation by replica*, J. Phys. A **46** (2013), no. 35, 355002

[15] K. Johansson, *Shape fluctuations and random matrices,* Commun. Math. Phys., **209**, (2000), 437–476

[16] K. Johansson, *Random matrices and determinantal processes*, in Mathematical statistical physics, 1–55, Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006

[17] K. Johansson, *A multi-dimensional Markov chain and the Meixner ensemble*, Ark. Mat. **48** (2010), 79–95

[18] N. O'Connell, *Directed polymers and the quantum Toda lattice*, Ann. Probab. **40** (2012), 437–458

[19] J. Quastel, *Introduction to KPZ*, Current developments in mathematics, 2011, 125?194, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012.

[20] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Integral formulas for the asymmetric simple exclusion process*, Comm. Math. Phys. **279** (2008), 815–844

[21] J. Warren, *Dyson's Brownian motions, intertwining and interlacing*, Electron. J. Probab. 12 (2007), no. 19, 573–590

Department of Mathematics, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden
E-mail address: `kurtj@kth.se`